Minutes

12/10/2018 1 - 153

Approved for

release CITY OF WALTHAM MASSACHUSETTS

12/14/2020

CITY COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

RE: ACQUISITION AND/OR USE OF REAL STATE PARCELS IN RELATIONSHIP TO WALTHAM HIGH SCHOOL PROJECT AND OTHER PROJECTS.

Monday
June 25, 2018

City Council Chamber Waltham City Hall 610 Main Street Waltham, Massachusetts

The above entitled matter came on for hearing

pursuant to Notice

BEFORE: Diane P. LeBlanc, Council President

Robert G. Logan, Council Vice President

George A. Darcy, III, Councillor William H. Fowler, Councillor Cathyann Harris, Councillor Joseph P. LaCava, Councillor Randall J. LeBlanc, Councillor Kristine A. Mackin, Councillor Kathleen McMenimen, Councillor John J. McLaughlin, Councillor Daniel P. Romard, Councillor Carlos A. Vidal, Councillor Thomas M. Stanley, Councillor Paul J. Brasco, Councillor

PROCEEDINGS

1.3

(6:10 p.m.)

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: The Executive Session to

address real estate matters related to the high school is

called to order.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, Attorney Azadi, can I have an update on our last meeting?

ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes. Okay. We met on June 21st Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco with Father White and Attorney Patton. And Councillor Brasco and Councillor LeBlanc asked if there's any new information. Father White's response to that was that everything takes time. So, there was no new information from the Stigmatines.

Attorney Patton explained that it's not a simple process. And he was discussing the fact that language was an issue because the Superior General in Rome, and the newly elected Superior General actually speaks Portuguese rather than Italian. So, we have an additional layer of translation there.

Attorney Patton has a number of comments of past dealings which really aren't necessary to go into at this point. We tried to focus the conversation on the current status of the City Council's feelings with respect to the property and what the Stigmatines themselves are willing to do.

Father White indicated that, in addition to the Superior General, it is necessary to have the Cardinal for the Archdiocese of Boston also participate by giving approval for any sale of the property. And he indicated that that was because, any time there's a disposition of property over a certain dollar amount, the local archdiocese has to be involved.

1.3

2.1

He did indicate that, about a year ago, I asked him at that point if he was talking about something like a right of first refusal. And he said, no, it doesn't rise to the level of a right of first refusal. Though, approximately a year ago, when there were earlier discussions going on, apparently, he had some dealings with the Cardinal. And it was indicated at that time that the Cardinal was not interested in acquiring the property for the archdiocese.

Father White then went on to explain that, in addition to the Superior General and the Cardinal, he has a board of 15 priests that he has to discuss everything with. And while we were trying to emphasize to him the importance of giving us information on what terms the Stigmatines would like to see whether it's a purchase, a taking, whatever form of acquisition. He explained that he couldn't even begin talking to them until he got approval from Rome and the Cardinal.

He did indicate that just us with the City Council having 15 members, it's difficult to get them all to agree. He foresaw the same thing happening with the 15 priests that he has to involve in the process.

1.3

Father White indicated that he's done all the preliminary work he can do, didn't really specific exactly what that was. Based on the conversation, I would say it's asking Rome to give an answer, nothing more than that at this point.

Let me see. Councillor Brasco explained several times that he's trying to get a win/win so that the Stigmatines are happy with the results of any negotiations and the City gets what it needs for its process. But, again, Father White is constrained, according to Attorney Patton. He said his shareholders have a say. The Superior General would have the authority to say okay, you can give the go ahead. But, the local 15 Stigmatines also have to agree.

Councillor Brasco requested a copy of the appraisal that has been done by the Stigmatines as a sign of good faith. Attorney Patton is unwilling to release that. He also indicated that he would want to update it, but again, is unwilling to share that document with the City.

Although, he did say that price is secondary to the threshold issue which is authority to sell the property.

Again, there were several times where that was repeated.

And Attorney Patton remained adamant that he would not release the appraisal.

1.3

Councillor Brasco asked if he'd been willing to give the old appraisal with the understanding that it is to be updated. And Attorney Patton again refused to do so.

Let me see. Final upshot, oh, Councillor LeBlanc asked what the current relationship is of the Stigmatines with the marketing company. Attorney Patton indicated that they've done a management plan for the priests and St. Joseph's Hall. And that, at this point, they are not attempting to sell the property. He also indicated that, since they began negotiations with the City, they have not been trying to sell the property to anyone else.

We again emphasized that the hope is to move this forward in an amicable way. But, we don't really have any movement or any information from the Stigmatines that we didn't have prior to this meeting other than the fact that we now know that there are 15 local priests who also have to be involved in the process.

And we've set up another meeting for tomorrow at 4:00 p.m. And the purpose of that meeting is to try and see if there is any authorization to discuss terms. At the end of the meeting, I actually made a statement, I think, that may have prompted this meeting. I said, "to be blunt, if,

in fact, there were a vote of the City Council on Monday night to take the property, I want to again make you aware that we have 30 days to record an order." So, there is a possibility to have some negotiation going on.

2.1

So, I said, "if there were a taking order, would you still want to meet on Tuesday and possibly discuss terms then?" And the answer was, "yes". But, again, because we didn't know exactly what was going to happen tonight, it could be, for a friendly acquisition, it could be for a taking. But, supposedly, there would be more discussion of terms tomorrow.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, I mean, that is a good summary. But, there was, I think, one of the most significant things that was conveyed by both Councillor Brasco and myself was the Council's desire to acquire this property for a school, preferably in its entirety. But, to acquire enough of the property for a school.

I conveyed, very plainly, looking directly at Father White, that while my personal position on a hostile eminent domain taking had not changed, this was a very different Council body.

ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: And Councillor Brasco essentially conveyed those same sentiments. They then came back to us and this is extremely significant, and said, "I

need that" -- Father White said, "I need that in writing."

This is on Thursday afternoon. Our meeting started at 4:00.

It went for almost two hours. And they said, "please",

Father White said, "get me that in writing so that I can

send it off to Rome."

1.3

2.1

Now, at the same time, Councillor Brasco and I are conveying as well, we need something substantive to take back to the Council body to let them know that we're moving in the right direction toward either a purchase and sale or a friendly taking.

And I certainly had all hopes, I'm not going to speak for Councillor Brasco, that we would be able to deliver them that letter so that they could send it off to Rome. And in turn, they would send us a letter.

We weren't able to do that. And maybe, Attorney Azadi, explain why you were unable to give them that letter.

ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes. The specific request was in reference to comments that were made by both Councillors saying that the City Council had decided that it was ready to acquire the property by all legal means. And the question came from Attorney Patton and Father White asking, "what do you mean by all legal means?"

And I had quietly said I wasn't present for the entire meeting last week, so I couldn't comment on what had been discussed by the Council. When I went into work on

Friday, I was trying to find out exactly what may have occurred. And I could not find anything that indicated that there was actually a vote on all legal means for acquisition. Therefore, if I had tried to write something, I would have been misrepresenting what the position was at that time.

1.3

2.1

So, I did inform Councillor LeBlanc that I wouldn't be able to send out the letter, and that I recommended that the question be submitted to the Council tonight.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, and Councillor Brasco and I have certainly been communicating around this matter. I mean, Attorney Cervone was present. So, he knows what the vote was.

Despite the fact that we were not able to deliver to them the letter that they requested to really let Rome know how serious this was, they did, in good faith, and I would guess the Councillors should receive a copy of it.

This came in this afternoon from Attorney Patton to Councillor Brasco and myself, send a very brief letter which I forwarded to Attorney Azadi and Attorney Cervone. It actually came through the Acting City Clerk Vizard.

And it says, "regarding Waltham property. Dear Councillors LeBlanc and Brasco, Father White is willing to enter into negotiations with the sincere intention of

identifying mutually agreeable terms for the sale of the property to the City. We will discuss this further at our meeting tomorrow scheduled for 4:00 p.m. at the Stigmatine property." So that's the letter that we received.

Councillor Brasco?

1.3

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Agreed. We actually mentioned during the meeting and I'll give my little brief summary of how I believe that it went.

I think, when we walked in to meet Father White and Attorney Patton, I think the first thing that they saw was that Attorney Azadi was with us, which sent a clear message to them that, now, we have our lawyers engaged in the conversation and dialogue. I believe, at certain points, it might have become a little contentious with Attorney Patton trying to go backwards rather than moving forward. Attorney Patton engaged Attorney Azadi on a number of different occasions with different comments back and forth.

We did state clearly, on numerous occasions, time's up, pretty much. We were hoping to bring something back to the Council last week and we didn't have anything to provide to the Council. That coming back this week without something, a letter to work in good faith, with not even a guarantee that, as Attorney Azadi said, at the conclusion of the meeting, if there is a taking, you know, we should still

meet, because we can hammer out a deal.

1.3

They said, Father White, as Attorney Azadi said, he said he wanted the letter from us stating about, you know — on a number of occasions, I had said that there's change in dynamics, sufficient support by this body to acquire the property by all legal means. And when they pressed that issue several times, the same response came out. There is sufficient support to acquire the property by any legal means.

And then, they wanted the clarity of that in writing. They wanted us to provide that letter in writing, which then, I asked Attorney Azadi if we could get that to them by that afternoon, by Friday, over the weekend, something in good -- I will say in good faith. They said they wouldn't respond without that letter. And to -- well, to my liking, I guess, they responded today with the ability of saying, you know, with that letter in response.

They know the clock is ticking, because they knew that we've called these special meetings. They knew that the last of our meetings for the Council body to meet was now. But, they did send off this letter without us sending anything to them. So, to be able to have the ability for them to come back and say this to us, I thought was a positive measure to see.

And given the opportunity, probably come out with

some type of amicable agreement. I'm hoping. I mean that's my summary of what took place.

1.3

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: I think, the only -- well, I don't want to say only. I might think of other stuff. I think, something else that is significant is that they shared with us that the new Superior General will be coming to the property to visit with the Stigmatines here in Waltham.

Pat, did you get those dates? It's at the end of the month?

ATTORNEY AZADI: The new Superior General is supposed to be coming July 22nd. And in response to a question about why he was coming, Father White indicated that it is not related to the potential acquisition by the City. It's just because of the fact that he was newly elected, he's going to be going around and visiting various local Stigmatine properties.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: He also indicated that he has been here before, but in a different capacity. Not as the Superior General. But, -- but, certainly, if we were working toward a deal, if we get a deal, their leadership is coming here soon.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, I may, and this is for the record.

(Passes out letter/e-mails.)

1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'll go over it in a minute. 2 Okav. 3 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Mayor, the Council has 4 received some of these communications. 5 MAYOR MCCARTHY: With all due respect, Councillor, 6 this is for the record. We have a stenographer here and 7 we're putting these things into the record. 8 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: None of this is marked 9 confidential; correct? 10 MAYOR MCCARTHY: They are all given to you in Executive Session. 11 12 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Okay. Thank you. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Executive Session documents. 13 14 (Mayor McCarthy hands out documents.) 15 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm missing one. Joe, can I have 16 yours? The first document. 17 18 Then, I'd be happy to relinquish the floor. 19 The first document is a document to the Waltham 20 City Council regarding MSBA communications, state funding of 21 Waltham High School. "Dear Councillors, with regard to the 22 issue of state financing of the Waltham High School project 23 enclosed please find, May 14th communication to the 24 superintendent, May 24th school superintendent response, June 19th communication to the Mayor, and June 25th today." 25

Okay. There's been a lot of misinformation all about the September 30th date. That September 30th was an Executive Session. I had indicated to them at the time that I didn't think the September 30th, I don't think I -- you know, they would give me 'til September 30th, but I would report back to them every week. Because at the time, there was no support. I did not indicate that we would have support, but I would get back to them every week.

1.3

So, this is the superintendent asked the question, is there an interim date by which -- it should say by which -- by which we need to make a site selection. The City of Waltham must complete its site selection process and local actions and approvals on or before September 30, 2018. If the City is unable to meet this time line, then MSBA may request that the City consider withdrawing the statement of interest, -- You know how that starts the process -- for the Waltham High School project, submit a new statement of interest and await a potential second invitation from the MSBA board to enter the eligibility period phase of the MSBA process.

So, I want to just point out that. And then, I'd like to point out this one. Hold on a minute.

"May 24th communication. Okay. Please provide a more detailed status of the City's efforts to determine the potential sites for the Waltham High School project, the

time line for completing this effort and how this affects the City schedule to complete the feasibility study and schematic design. In particular, please provide the steps and reasonable time line needed to engage in discussions regarding the Stigmatine site, conclude on an agreement, secure full use and ownership, and conduct the site investigations and testings needed as part of the feasibility studies schematic design phase. Please include the City's next steps if discussions on the Stigmatines are not successful."

So that's that document.

1.3

2.1

Oh, and as I indicated, I did file an amended notice of the meeting for tonight. The amended notice of the meeting in relationship to the last meeting, I eliminated all use of real estate parcels based upon our prior discussions. So, the purpose of the meeting is Executive Session with regard to acquisition of real estate parcels in relationship to the Waltham High School project. As I indicated at the last meeting, I would update that.

The next one, to the Waltham City Council re:
Trustees of Stigmatine Fathers, Inc -- I'm reading this
because they're lawyers.

"Dear Councillors, I have not currently spoke to Father White, president of the corporation, nor Attorney Adam Patton, attorney for the Stigmatine Fathers, Inc, nor

Atlantic Management. I am not aware of any current plans of the trustees of Stigmatine Fathers, Inc to develop, sell or transfer its properties in Waltham."

1.3

And I have provided all of the communications since the City Council resolution, March 2nd, March 9th, March 12th, April 23rd, all 2018. May 1st, May 15th, May 18th, May 30th, June 4th, June 7th, June 19th, June 20th, June 20th, June 22nd, June 25th. And the 25th has several e-mails in it.

Okay. So, those are the communications. Some of them I provided to you previously. I updated that.

And the last is regarding local funding. "Dear Councillors, as you are aware, only the Mayor can initiate funding requests for the acquisition of real estate. As such, I'm available to discuss funding as you wish."

That's my update. Thank you.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, can I just ask, either you, Mayor, or one of the attorneys, the communications that the Mayor has now provided from the MSBA, most of these, if not all, have already come to the Council.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. But, they weren't entered into the record, Councillor.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: No. I understand that. But, what I'm asking, Mayor, is they came to the Council. They weren't marked confidential or anything. They came to me.

They go to the clerk. They get distributed to the full Council body.

1.3

2.1

So, the Council received those in an open way.

Now, we're getting those same documents entered into the record as part of an Executive Session. What does that mean? How can you bring something into Executive Session after it's already on the public domain?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: With all due respect, I can answer that.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Okay.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I provided some information to the clerk, to you. Waltham Cable kept talking about the feasibility study and whether we have requested an extension. And most recently, they wanted me to provide the document. And I said I cannot provide that because the documents were not complete.

So, the issue of the September 30th was discussed in Executive Session only. That September 30th. So, for example, when you got the June 19th letter, he said he had that letter. He wanted me to provide this to him.

And so, my bottom line, if you look just at the June 19th letter, and you say, okay, the time line, August 29, 2018, February 29, 2019, August 2019, okay, those are the same. The earliest that we can do anything with them is July 1st.

So, you would not know if you had just received this letter. And this letter goes to Jess Deleconion of Mass Builngs, to Councilor LeBlanc as Council President, with the City Treasurer, the Superintendent, George Frost, Leann Wilcinski, Marian Parella, Bill Cuniff with NV5, Chris Guarino from NV5, Lorraine Finnegan from SSMA, Karl Brown is at MSBA, Katie Loeffler is at MSBA, Mary Pichetti is at MSBA, and Kathryn Decfristofaro is at MSBA.

1.3

2.1

So, the point is that, the issue of the September 30th was only discussed in Executive Session. That's the reason why I'm saying. The rest of the documents are public documents. But, the issue, if you didn't have the September 30th information, and you just went to read this document right here, you would have a different opinion of what's being said in this letter versus the September 30th.

But, the September 30th was discussed. They started, when I asked previously, what would you like me to tell the MSBA at the meeting. So, they indicated they were willing to give the City 'til September 30th to get all of the site issues done which what they mean by that is, the City owns it and the school department has exclusive use.

So that -- that is a precursor to doing all of the other work the architect has to do to get everything filed And that takes months. So, as a result of that, if you saw the answer, -- if it was a different site, it was going to

take at least a year. And then, about six months after that.

1.3

So, once you get the site, it's six months. So, if you go beyond that deadline, now, you're into the issue of whether or not you have to reapply. So that's the reason why Councillor? Because the September 30th, we've only discussed here because we did not want it out to anybody that we might be looking at property, they would know what the deadline is.

So that September 30th is an Executive Session.

And the Waltham Cable kept it up, kept it up, kept it up. I said, you know, I can't respond to you. I will not. I cannot. I will not jeopardize the project or discuss anything that might be in Executive Session.

So, he had indicated that he was going to do it because of what I was planning to do at the end of this month. I have no plans to do anything at the end of the month. So, all of his e-mails, some of them I provided, the last two were about this. It sends a mixed message is what I'm saying.

So, if you didn't know about the September 30th deadline, and I think it's very important that only the elected officials know about the September 30th deadline, so it does not adversely impact your bargaining position. So that's the reason why they've come in this way.

Now, are the other documents technically public?

Yes. But, the September 30th is not. It's not public now

for anyone's discussion outside of Executive Session,

because that was brought up to me, and I'm trying to be

honest about everything. That that was brought up to me to

say, you know, we're willing to give you 'til September

30th, if you can speak as one voice as the City.

1.3

2.1

And I said, well, you know, I'm really not sure.

At this point, I don't really think it's going to happen, to speak with one voice. So, I was very frank with them.

So, when they sent out the letter on September 19th, it wasn't going to mention the September 30th, because I said to them -- you know what I mean? But I did update, you know, them. And I said, there has been some movement, but I can't tell you what it is.

So that's the reason why. I hope that answers your question, Ma'am President.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Thank you.

Councillor Stanley.

enough. I'm ready to move to take -- to make any motions that are required. I don't know if any -- if we make any motions before -- you know, any motions required to take the property by eminent domain as I said in the last meeting.

And so, Ma'am Mayor, my question to you is, are there any

motions that we could make now and what wording would you like to do that?

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, first of all, you have to discuss the price, I think. And then, after the price, that can be inserted in an order. But, I think, we need to discuss the price.

7 COUNCILLOR STANLEY: So, I guess, I'll start off - 8 -

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I can tell you what my recommendation is, if you'd like?

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Yeah. Please.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, initially, this land was appraised at 15,000,000 for 96 house lots. There was a deviation of 99 house lots. So, if you looked at the engineering provided by the people that one had 99, it was the same engineer. One came up with 99. The other came up with 96.

So, our appraiser went with the 96. And so, that was \$15,000,000.

Then, later, there was an appraisal for alternate uses. It was not part of the appraisal. It was an analysis of the property. And that property was for \$18,000,000 and I think 76 through 6, is 400. And so, I had rounded that down to 18,000,000 based upon the fact at the time it was going to be a five year lease back.

So, the \$18,000,000 is what the funding is. And I had indicated to them that I was going to go forward in good faith to what we had discussed. And that was the 18,000,000. So, 18,000,000 is currently available.

1.3

2.1

I think we have to do it now. And I think in all likelyhood, we can hopefully negotiate in a friendly way and thhat they have that. But, 18,000,000 is probably, I think, perhaps low. But, it's the only amount that we have to work with and we have to start somewhere.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Respectfully, after the the Council, if there's a direction, I would have the lawyers go out and draft a taking order -- I have them on my computer from the original taking orders. I would ask the lawyers to leave Executive Session to go and draft whatever the Council wants.

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Draft the motion?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Draft an order.

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: I'd ask that -- make a motion to do that, to ask the Council to ask the lawyers to go and draft the proper language right now for \$18,000,000 to take the property by eminent domain.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: On that motion, Councillor Brasco.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Thank you, Ma'am President.

On that motion, so now I'm kind of confused. Are we going to negotiate in good faith moving forward? Or are we just cutting the chase now? We've had the opportunity to talk with them just twice.

I mean, their letter, I mean, I'm more than willing to go back tomorrow, at tomorrow's meeting, and negotiate the price and the willingness to work with one another. I think, I'm not confused to say, if we're cutting to the chase, then why did we even go in the first place.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. So, --

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: I mean, that's not even --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: You can't negotiate the price.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: And that's what I was going to

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, what --

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: And honestly, that's what I was going to say. I was going to say Ma'am Mayor, if we were given an authority to go up to a number, or have the ability to work within means of this body, maybe even at this point, with the attorney, we go back with the Mayor into this negotiation. You know, and now the four of us go there.

I just, I think --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I don't have a problem with that. But, the problem is, is the only money that you have

available right now is \$18,000,000.

2.1

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: And we did say that to them.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. Because you did say that. So, because of that, I think, they know that, because when I did go in to put in alternate values twice, subject to Council the first time, you know, I did that. And the second time, I brought actual financing agreement in here.

So, what I will say is, that's the reason why I gave you the letter. You don't have any authority with the money. And I'm not trying to be a strong arm or anything. I'm just saying, the money requires both parties. And I'm the only one that can initiate it.

So that's mooted a way, because you would not be able to agree to something. You know what I'm saying?

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Correct. No, absolutely. And that's why I didn't know if you would be engaged in the process, or if -- and we did. You did state at certain points that the only authorization, bond authorization right now is for up to \$18,000,000.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Right. So, what I'm saying right now is, I have provided to you everything since March. I've provided all of the documents since the Council got involved. Okay.

And although, I think that's a good sign, I don't see it ending in the time line that you needed. Okay. So,

if I look at all the communications, you know, they understood. You know what I mean? It was a friendly, all friendly, friendly, friendly. If you look at all the documents there, it was all friendly.

1.3

2.1

So, my point, and you know, there was no tone back and forth. The earliest tone came recently.

So, I'm going to say, with what they told you at the meeting, that this one and this one and this one, you know what I mean?

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: But again, I think, I know.

And we clearly pointed out, and I think, Attorney Azadi

pointed out on numerous occasions, Father White has the

ability to do this. And although, he used the term process

on multiple occasions, you know, just like the process was

to get the letter from us to go to them, we never completed

that process.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. But, in fairness, on May 30th, we sent a letter by both attorneys to the attorney for the Stigmatines. And that was our final proposal. And that amount was for 25,400,000. So, I'd like to read that to remind everybody.

"The City Council has directed and the Mayor has approved this final proposal for your clients to voluntarily transfer all the real estate to the trustees of the Stigmatine Fathers, Inc located in Waltham on or near

Lexington Street. Based upon your communications to the City Council, that the above property was valued at \$25,400,000 by an appraiser, that you and your client obtained but did not release, the City Council proposed to pay up to that \$25,400,000 sum to the trustees of Stigmatine Fathers, Inc as long as that owner/your corporation, client, accept this proposal in writing no later than 5:00 o'clock on this coming Monday, June 4, 2018."

1.3

"Since other previous real estate transactions of the owners, trustees of Stigmatine Fathers, Inc, a Massachusetts corporation, show its president at the authorized signatory. If this limited time proposal accepted by the owner, we would request that such corporate officer/president sign such acceptance. Again, this proposal terminates at 5:00 o'clock on June 4th. This is also with prejudice to and any and all other rights and powers of the City of Waltham under state law."

Okay. And they had a line for accepting. The response was, "dear Attorneys Cervone and Azadi, thank you for your final proposal dated May 30, 2018. I wish to inform you that the Stigmatines cannot accept your proposal at this time. I also want to mention that I continue to read on social media posts, namely under Facebook, Waltham Citizens for Education, that the Stigmatines have plans to develop the property or they have a deal in place with a

developer. This is simply not true and is being put out there without any factual basis in order to create confusion and anger."

2.1

"As the Stigmatines have said in the past, if at some future date the Stigmatines reconsider their position, the City of Waltham would be the first entity to be informed and involved in such process."

And I confirm with this saying that, "my knowledge is that there is not anyone there either." So, --

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: May I address Councillor Brasco's concern?

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Sure. Go ahead.

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Now, last week, I said how, in recent weeks, everything's changed for me. And it was three important reasons. One was, the Mayor's not going to apply for another extension. And I think that's the right way to go. And the MSBA is going to -- they've exhausted -- you know, in all likelihood we'd go to the end of the list.

The other big thing for me was when yourself and Councillor LeBlanc went and spoke with them and the information that you got back was critical for me to make a decision that they are going to sell it.

And finally, the third point was down zoning the property. But, I agree with the Mayor that, there is no more time. Now that I've purchased personally, now that I

know that they will sell it either to us or to someone else eventually, for our purposes, there's not enough time to get everything we wanted, to not miss getting \$145,000,000 in funding.

And so, your going there, for me, was absolutely critical and the feedback that you brought back. And I don't know if, as the Mayor suggested, both of you go back with her, or whatever. I would be more than happy about that because I think it was very beneficial.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: So that was on a yield to the floor and in response to my question.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Councillor Brasco.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: And I understand. There was no -- I couldn't have emphasized more in that meeting about the time. They're out of time. They're out of time. How do we -- and I think, maybe they didn't understand that until we walked in with our attorney and gave them the ability to see and tell them clearly that there is sufficient support to acquire the property by all legal means.

That being said, like I said, with the return of this letter today, and I know that, in response you're just saying they're going to sell the property to anybody, you know, it does say their sincere intention of identifying mutual agreeable terms for the sale of the property to the

City. To the City.

1.3

I mean, if given the ability, I mean, one more meeting, if they don't like our terms when you walk into the meeting, we can call a special meeting of City Council. How many weeks have we done it now. I mean, to come up to an agreement that's beneficial to all of us and all the parties involved.

I think, it's in the best interest of this body.

But, to bring the community back together as a whole as divided as it has been. So, I'll do what the wish of the body is. But, having just those two meetings and not having the ability to go back under some conditions. We really didn't have any terms or conditions. As the Mayor clearly stated, we didn't have authority to give numbers.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: But, I did. And I gave them the 25.4 million, Councillor, with all due respect.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Oh, no, no. Ma'am Mayor, they should have taken it. I mean, I don't know how this body will vote. But, they should have taken it. And honestly, -

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, just for clarification,

Mayor, could -- since it was Councillor Brasco who had made

that motion to authorize you for up to that amount of money.

And that wasn't anything that had to come out, could the

body authorize Councillor Brasco and I to go back with that?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because you can't authorize money that you don't have. Okay. And that has to be bonded.

1.3

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: But, we authorized you --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No, no. We authorized to negotiate. It's a different story when you authorize to vote. Difference for vote. When you're in negotiation, you have authority to go back and forth. At some point, at some point, what my concern is, is one, I've been through this for two years. And I don't care what people think of me personally, in good faith, we negotiated. And I agree.

But, you have nothing right now. You have nothing right now to enable you to negotiate. You have nothing.

Because you already said to them about the \$18,000,000.

Okay. They didn't accept that.

So, what I'm saying to you is, first of all, is there going to be a quorum of this City Council? I don't know. I've called for -- and I thank you for all being here. I don't know, you know, after this one, when the next quorum will be. And the money will have to have a special meeting to vote the money, whatever it is. You know what I mean?

So, when you have no purchase and sale agreement, and no expectation right this minute that you're going to have it, you're going in to negotiate in a position of not strength. You don't have a strong negotiating position at

this point, because, quite frankly, with all due respect, you tried all that. Okay.

1.3

So, the issue becomes one of, I've already indicated to you, both publicly and in this body, that I was willing to put the extra money there. But, I'm not going to negotiate against myself. So, the issue becomes, at some point, you need a -- you know, and I know this is the starting point. Well, what if they come back at \$50,000,000 like they were laughing about two years ago, one of the priests. You know, laughing at \$50,000,000.

So, my whole point is, and it's the message that you give, okay. In other words, we have authority at 18. But, we also have a deadline. And you know, one of the reasons why I asked the superintendent to put the September 30th in writing was, they'd know, there's that deadline.

And that September 30th deadline is not just, oh, but we have to get the money. It has to actually be completed. And there can be no -- in other words, it can't be a shared use. So, as a result of this, I have to say I'm willing to let you enter into more talks. But, without this baseline that establishes what the City needs to move the process forward, I think, you're just going to go back and then, they're going to go back. You know, the first time I've heard that they now need three entities. And they also said at the last meeting, the Council President said, that

Atlantic was not involved. They're not involved any more.

Now, I understand they have a modified role. But, the statement was, at your first meeting, that Atlantic wasn't involved. So, I take that as, they're giving them friendly advice on how to manage better their property. But, you're going in the same way I went in in the end, with your hands tied behind your back and with no authority.

So, you need some authority. And you need some authority that means something. And that doesn't mean, and I'll say right to you, Councillor, that I'm going to say, oh, by the way, 18,000,000 is it. Okay. I'm not saying that.

What I'm saying is, if you don't begin in earnest negotiations that give the City at least a 50/50 bargaining, you don't have anything 50/50.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: So, that's my question. So, if we vote for the order of taking, with the !8,000,000, is that it? Or do we have the ability to go in and --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. What we've already said is,

I am willing -- I was willing last year, before your

election, because I knew to try to unite the City, to have

the City speak with one voice, I was willing to give the

entire purchase price. Why? That was declared out of order

wrongfully.

So, what I'm saying to you is this, we now have to

If you then have a purchase and sale or 1 go back to that. 2 agreement that's worked out, then both parties have time to 3 decide what they need to do, meaning what you're going to do 4 with the priests and what you're going to do with the extra 5 money. 6 But, to not start that process right now, it's too 7 8 COUNCILLOR BRASCO: So, we stop that with the 9 order of taking and --10 MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. 11 COUNCILLOR BRASCO: -- then, go back into --12 MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. And that's the 13 worst thing they want you to do. 14 ATTORNEY CERVONE: And just, there is 30 days from 15 the date of the clerk's --16 MAYOR MCCARTHY: That means you have to record it. 17 ATTORNEY CERVONE: That we have to record it. 18 if something is resolved between the date of the clerk's 19 stamp on an order of taking, we have 30 days to record it. 20 MAYOR MCCARTHY: To talk. 2.1 ATTORNEY CERVONE: To talk and resolve something. 22 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Or resolve it. 23 ATTORNEY CERVONE: If it's resolved, we don't 24 record it. 25 MAYOR MCCARTHY: But we have to record it within

the 30 days to have it effective. And what I'm saying is, I'm willing to tell the law department, no, we're not going to record it on Day One, Day Two. You know, we'll, in good faith, negotiate.

1.3

2.1

And I already told you what. But, you still don't have an appraisal. And I know you've asked, because you told me. Both of you have told me you've asked. Right.

But, with all due respect, you have no ability to engage in a good talk until you make something. Because, what did they want last time? They wanted you to say, correct, from what I understand from what Pat Azadi said, give us the three means, or the four means. What do you mean by legal means?

Well, the legal means have to be voted. So, you can't take a vote on eminent domain and not go out in public and take the vote. So that was a negotiation vote; correct? Yeah.

So, you have to vote that money publicly. You can't do that in private.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Right.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because it's public.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: So, the order of taking would be voted out in the public.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. And but, the same thing. I'd send the both of you back and say -- you

know what I mean? And I may come this time, you know, maybe respectfully. But, I may sit in another room. Let you negotiate and sit in another room.

1.3

2.1

That's what I do when I'm the principal. I mean, if I have to negotiate with the Teachers's Union because three School Committee members have a conflict, don't sit at the table, because I'm the one that has the control over the money.

So, I would sit in another room, respectfully, and I would request an independent location this time where -- and I'm not saying City of Waltham, where, you know, I would sit there. The law department would go with you. And that's what I'm getting at.

So, I can't sit at the table, because, oh, great, you want to pay this? You want to pay that? You can't ask that question, because, you know what I mean. And that's how negotiation is. But, I want to say this. They wanted to know what the legal means means. Well, the only way you can do that is public. You can't say, oh, by the way, the legal means means this. You can't because you can't say you're something by eminent domain without that.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Mayor, respectfully, when we had that conversation around that matter, Pat said, "well, what I might be able to do is list everything that constitutes a legal means."

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Attorney Patton already knows at legal means are. That's -- and I don't want to put the City in a position whereby listing them to him, I've indicated that the City Council has voted that way, because there hasn't been --

ATTORNEY AZADI: I was not here for that discussion with John.

1.3

2.1

ATTORNEY CERVONE: Plus, it would be violating Executive Session to identify what was added.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, what I'm saying, to be succinct, the 18,000,000 would give you some authority to go in. And I will be available to discuss price or any other term. Okay. But, the Council and Mayor have got to unite at some point. Okay.

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Tonight.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Tonight is what Councillor

Stanley said to me. They have to unite at some point. And the issue becomes one of, I've giving you the authority for the 18. I did check. You know, that 18 is available. The other issue is, I have to be now part of it at some stage. And then, we would naturally have to bring it back to the Council, because any additional money requires public votes for money.

And, you know what I mean? And so, I'm just saying that. I hope I've answered your question.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Is there a way for you to give us the authority for the 18,000,000 --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: You have it already.

2.1

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: -- without -- so, we do have it already, without there being a hostile eminent domain taking?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. Because, -- okay.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: I think, if we give her, you know, the friendly or we're can take the property then she's going to go to that and say, okay, let's make a deal and it becomes a friendly deal.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: We've already done that.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: There's still the possibility of a friendly deal. I'm going to give you an example. The Waltham High School and some other properties, the old high -- the current high school started as a taking against Storrer and other parties. Then, they ended up making an arrangement.

What I'm saying is, I'm going to give you authority and good faith as I've already told you. I've said it three times. So, he's evidently looking for more than 25.4 million. Right. With no appraisal.

So, I just, respectfully, if you keep going back, and you already went on through that. That's why I provided all the documents to you. Since both the Council and the

Mayor were involved in a friendly purchase, okay, this here says a mutually friendly. Well, that was the first standstill agreement. I can go back and get you the first standstill agreement and the exact language is there that the parties would negotiate, you know, a purchase and sale agreement to sell the property to the City of Waltham.

1.3

2.1

So that's the way it is. I don't know where they're at yet. I'm willing to continue. But, I'm not willing to continue without some movement. Because, if you go back tomorrow with no authority, and that's what -- you know, public authority, then they wanted to know what authority you actually had. Well, we're telling them now what authority you have.

But, the difference is, you're going to start the conversation and say, listen, do you really want to make a deal? And you know, would everybody in this room prefer a friendly purchase? Absolutely correct. But, you're not going to get that with your hands tied behind your back and no money and the time is of the essence.

So, I've been saying all along -- now, I removed myself from the process. I have two people, request the Council to appoint two people. I have no problem, continue to do that. And you would go back. I just have to be part of the process because, when push comes to shove, but I don't see how anything has changed, anything has changed by

that letter.

1.3

2.1

I can go back to the May letter from -- ATTORNEY CERVONE: 2016.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- 2016. We're now May 2018. The same thing. We're willing to negotiate. We're willing to negotiate. But, last meeting, you said well, three people, let's go. That's your people that you have to secure.

So, if they had come in to Council with the purchase and sale agreement to you, a purchase and sale agreement, which is how most people do business, and they said, here, I've got a purchase and sale agreement, this is what it is, you want to negotiate that? That's how it starts. An offer to purchase starts. There was no offer to purchase here. There's no purchase and sale here that you can go back and give the Councillors and say, listen, this is what we'd like to negotiate.

And you know what I mean? Usually, not with the big commercial deals now. But, usually, you have some kind of price back and forth. Oh, yeah. Once we've agreed to the price, now we go and do the agreement.

So, I'm treating this like every transaction. And I'm trying to help you make a friendly deal is what I'm trying to do. And I know it sounds a little -- But, in fairness, it's not going to happen unless the Council unites

and says to them, let's really sit down in a room and get this done.

1.3

2.1

But, if they say -- they didn't give you a time line. I haven't even seen the letter. I didn't get the letter. So, can I see it?

ATTORNEY AZADI: Mayor, actually, if I could mention something, too? During the course of the meeting, Attorney Patton --

(Councillor Randall LeBlanc exits the room.)

ATTORNEY AZADI: During the course of the meeting,
Attorney Patton actually pulled out and referenced the
language in the standstill agreement. And he was quoting it
for the position that there was never any agreement to sell
the property to the City.

So, to use the negotiation language in this letter he sent today is very similar.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's going the same route. So, I think, I said all I can say to you, respectfully. So, and whatever happens, happens. I really feel this, two years, what was the date, May 2016, Pat? The original standstill, May 14th or something like that? What are we on now?

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: June 2018.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: And in fairness, for a while, that standstill agreement lapsed. I was working in good faith with Atlantic. There was no problem. There was no

problem. Okay.

The only problem came is when the City's appraisal of the \$18,000,000. They actually wanted me to sign a letter for the \$18,000,000 to send to Rome. And I said, "I can't do that without the Council."

So, you see what I'm getting at? There's nothing else I can tell you to get around it. Because the bottom line it comes down to, what authority are you going to have going to that table tomorrow to make this friendly deal.

And I'm telling you -- I just told you. I've already said 25.4.

(Councillor LeBlanc enters the room.)

MAYOR MCCARTHY: That means you've got an extra \$7,000,000. Well, \$7,000,000 of tax payers's money. And we have an appraisal for various uses, various uses, 15, 18. I mean, I -- you know, I even in the attempt to try to split the difference, came up 5,000,000. Each party would have moved 5,000,000.

So then, I did the whole thing. So now, I just would say that.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Councillor Fowler.

COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yeah, you know, it's funny, I just found Attorney Patton to be a master manipulator who is -- with the art of delay. He's been doing it now for two years. And it's really, we need some kind of an end game.

And the only end game I know is to put pressure on to say we're going to take the property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I would like to put the gun to their head instead of in ours, which it is. Because if we don't do something in the next week, we're going to hit the deadline and we're not going to have a profit. We're going to lose \$150,000,000.

Well, I'm not losing MAYOR MCCARTHY: \$150,000,000. I've already indicated I will come up with something.

COUNCILLOR FOWLER: All right. We're going to build at a site that most of us don't agree on as a good spot. I guess that's a better way to put it.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. That's fine. corrected on that one.

COUNCILLOR FOWLER: You know, it's not to put the qun to their head and see if they'll negotiate. You still can do that. But, without -- and I agree with the Mayor on this one. I mean, they've just put us off, put us off and put us off. And they're just hoping we'll get close enough to the deadline that we'll go build it somewhere else and leave them alone.

I still believe they'll sell it. And they'll sell it for a lot more than the \$25,000,000 to a developer, because --

1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Could I add something? Can I see 2 that letter? Who did it go to? 3 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: It went to Joe Vizard. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Oh, it's a public record. 4 5 public record. 6 COUNCILLOR FOWLER: You know, they're going to 7 sell it. 8 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Everything else is not a public 9 It's a public record. record. But that is. 10 He's been sending everything to Joe Vizard instead 11 of sending it directly to the attorney for the City of 12 Waltham. I thank you. It's addressed to Diane LeBlanc, 1.3 Councillor President, Paul Brasco, care of the Assistant 14 City Clerk. They're represented by counsel. That should 15 have gone to the lawyer. 16 Sorry about that.

COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Got a little distracted. But that's fine.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I apologize.

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

COUNCILLOR FOWLER: The only other thing I just want to add, a while back, I found it interesting that, all of a sudden, the Cardinal is involved in this transaction.

Because I remember, about a year ago, or at some point, getting a letter at my house from the Cardinal saying, look at that. People are talking about me involved in this

transaction. And I've got nothing to do with it.

That's paraphrasing it. It's not a quote. But, it's something to the effect of, I've got nothing to do with this. Take me out of this discussion.

And now, these people are saying to us, oh, no, no. The Cardinal has to sign off on this. I mean, this is all -- they keep laying another layer, another layer, another layer. It's time to make a decision. I think, most people think the Stigmatines is the right place to put the school, because even the people who opposed to them, say just give them what they're asking and buy it.

So, if you're saying that you believe it's the right spot for the school, in my opinion, if you're saying give them whatever they ask, you want it. So, let's make that move. If they want to sit down and talk to the two Councillors and Attorney Azadi and say, look, we'd like to do this and this is how we'd like to do it, and this is how much we want, you know, it's real easy for us to have a vote to not take the property, because we're going to have a deal.

But, it would be a lot harder if we don't do it now. As the Mayor said, there's vacations. People are going away. You're not going to have a full Council. And it's not fair to have a special meeting when anyone on either side isn't going to be there. Because I'm sure

everyone around this room has a vacation planned over the summer that they're not going to be around.

Well, maybe you don't with the look you're giving me. But, a lot of us do. And it's not fair. We're all here now. Let's make a decision and do the right thing.

And thank you.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Councillor Fowler, you done?
You good?

COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes.

1.3

2.1

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: All right. Councillor Vidal.

COUNCILLOR VIDAL: Thank you, Ma'am President.

And thank you both, Councillor Brasco and yourself negotiating over there.

In negotiating, it seems to me that even though the Mayor is saying you have no power, or you're going there without much to do, because you guys are not decision makers and the Mayor is, you are conversing. You're comfortable with the fact that they actually are willing to talk to you. There is no P&S. There's no offer. I understand that. But, you're getting somewhere, and I find that to be a positive thing.

I believe, and everyone can say all they want about how they're going to sell this property or not. But, we just took a path in the right direction. Perhaps, the people at the table with the City, two years ago, you were

the right people. But something happened. There was some sort of a -- you know, a personality thing. Who knows. I cannot speak to that. I was never there.

1.3

2.1

However, if we don't have anything -- if we're working towards something, what is the point of sending you guys? I mean, if you have any sort of power, sure, I mean, the Mayor made the call.

With all respect, now, you made the call to have two representatives go there. So, this is where we are now. So, let's work with what we have.

We are about to finally have a chance to talk, all that the Mayor has given us, get back to us, 25,000,000 and all this stuff. And all this thing about not everyone being here, when the Mayor came before us to ask for \$18,000,000, it was the middle of summer and we were here. I remember that clearly. It was a special session in the middle of summer. And all of us were here, except for one person. I don't remember who it was. All of us were here and all the people that come out speaking.

So, this whole thing about summer time, no. We're here to work. We'll make time. I'm going to be here. I hope people are going to be here. Because, if we need to approve 25,000,000 or the base line being 18,000,000 is already approved. If we need to go up more, then we're going to do it. I think that's just as simple as that.

But, I think, we should continue with the negotiations. It's not a position of being hands behind your back. It's a position of discussing a purchase, a friendly purchase. Again, perhaps things have changed as things have changed for our end. Maybe they can change on the other side and now, they want us to talk to them in a more friendly way, rather than sending e-mails and making requests, I need an answer by this time, this time. I want to --

1.3

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Point of personal privilege.

Point of personal privilege. Every single meeting that I had with Rome, and everybody else was very, very friendly and professional. And I'm going to say that, the only time it fell apart is when Patton was allowed to speak before this body. And there was no authority that allowed him to speak, only the priests. Thank you.

COUNCILLOR VIDAL: Understood. Thank you, Mayor.

I believe that we should continue with the negotiation. I think it's important to make sure we have a say at that table and be able to agree to a friendly purchase.

There's only two options. If we're going to do this, what was the point of sending Councillor Brasco and Councillor LeBlanc to the meeting. It just doesn't make much sense. We shouldn't have wasted three weeks. Should

have gone directly there.

1.3

2.1

Thank you, Ma'am.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Thank you, Councillor Vidal.

Councillor LeBlanc.

COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Thank you, Ma'am Mayor, for answering my questions. Thank you, very much.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Councillor McLaughlin.

COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Ma'am

President. I was away last week judging the national speech

and debate tournament. And I think, I should volunteer more

often for that because apparently a lot went on last week

that moved us in a certain direction.

I think, it was genius to send the diplomatic mission up from the Council. I think, there was great success with that.

When we sent them up that night, there was no talk of multiple meetings. We sent them up for a meeting. I think, I had said at the time, you know, let's leave it open to have more meetings.

There's no question in my mind that Attorney

Patton is once again dragging this out. You know,

Councillor Fowler mentioned the letter from the Cardinal,

the Cardinal has nothing to do with this. And the fact that

he's saying that to us just tells me front and center, they

want to drag this out until something else happens.

I think, they sense that there's a unifying force in the Council to move this in a certain direction. I think, they're aware of the option that we could re-zone this. I think, they're concerned about us moving towards eminent domain.

1.3

2.1

So, just to be clear, the meeting tomorrow, Ma'am President, Councillor Brasco, you guys are going up there tomorrow regardless; correct? And it's been said already, but to clarify, if we were to vote to take, there's a 30 day period that we have to file. That's calendar days.

ATTORNEY CERVONE: So, 30 days from the date that the City Clerk stamps the order of taking as the vote that was taken by the Council, for example, tonight. So, if it gets stamped tonight or tomorrow, we have 30 days from that date to record it.

So, as the Mayor had said in answer to the other questions, if we're told not to do anything until we hear further, until Day 29, that's what we'll do. We'll wait. But, whoever wants to negotiate, negotiate.

But, again, I'm looking at the dates. And you know, we started talking to them in January of 2016. And, you know, there's been lots of things back and forth. But, we never got anything other than exactly what you're talking.

And I'm going to mention a couple of things. The

psychiatrist and the doctor of psychology who taught me at 1 2 the Master's program in psychology said, the best way to 3 gauge and predict future behavior is to look at past 4 behavior. And I think that's exactly what's being said. 5 And you know, everything changed when Attorney 6 Patton took over, you know, got rid of the other attorneys 7 and moved in. And if he has been consistent, and Pat as 8 telling me the exact same thing, nothing changed. He was 9 even, you know, attacking Attorney Azadi, got so angry, he 10 left the meeting. ATTORNEY AZADI: He left the room for a minute. 11 12 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Is that so? Attack on Attorney Azadi? 13 What were they attacking Attorney Azadi with? 14 COUNCILLOR BRASCO: It was more contentious over 15 the fact that they had -- he was reliving the past. 16 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. And he continues --17 COUNCILLOR BRASCO: And he was trying to engage 18 Attorney Azadi with numerous questions. 19 MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- to bring up old issues. 20 we discussed --21 COUNCILLOR BRASCO: He did leave the room. 22 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. 23 ATTORNEY AZADI: But, there was some actual 24 friendly banter back and forth. 25 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Point of clarification. Is the 30 days that begins or stamped today, business days or 30 actual days?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Calendar 30 days.

1.3

ATTORNEY CERVONE: 30 calendar days.

COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Thank you.

COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Ma'am President, I think it's -- so, with regard to that, and I think the Mayor -- Mayor, I think, you should go out tomorrow. You should sit in another room. I think, we need to apply the pressure. I agree with the other Councillors. I don't have a problem working on this over the summer.

I think, we do run the risk of a quorum. We've had special meetings during the summer where I've shown up and there aren't enough people here. I don't want to do that or risk that.

I also want to remind everyone, we began talking about the Stigmatines because they were going to develop the property. This wasn't about the high school. Okay. To me, I've heard that through more people that live in that neighborhood that are worried about 4, 5, 600 units going up there. That will break the back of Lexington Street.

That's a major concern for me.

We're not sure if the high school can go there. We need to do that feasibility study. And I'm fine with it going there. But, don't forget, there are multiple issues

at play here. And I think, we need to strike while the iron is hot. Thank you.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Councillor Logan.

1.3

2.1

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: So, you know, again, my position has been all along that -- Because, you know, I just don't think it's the right thing. And I understand that thinking about eminent domain would give the Council more bargaining position. But, to me, if it's -- and again, when I say I don't think it's the right thing, I'm not accusing anyone who is on the other side of doing the wrong thing, because there's a lot of that out there, you know. That if you're one side or the other, you're doing the right thing.

I think, this is an issue on which people of good conscious can have differences.

But, I don't see any difference between just going in and taking it, or negotiating with a gun to their head.

To me, neither is a friendly taking. And so, I'd really like to take another shot at negotiation.

Personally, you know, again, I mean, I'm taking about three different -- there are going to be like three different weeks this summer that I'm going to be out of the state. And in one case, totally off the grid and unreachable. But, I'm available until next Monday, July 2nd, if you want to have a meeting. You know, if we can

give it another shot and have our negotiators go up there and see if they can make some progress.

The other thing is, you know, ridiculous rumors to -- outstanding. You know, again, my whole motivation opposing eminent domain has always been that I didn't think it was right to put a religious -- an active religious ministry, and it's not because I'm Catholic, whether it was a synagogue or a mosque or anything else, you know, out of business.

And again, I'm not saying, you know, if you disagree with me, you're a bad person. I understand that. Because its just the other side of the isste.

But, frankly, I'm trying to count the votes, because I think everybody else does. But, you know, if it does end up -- you know, I hope they can go up and negotiate. But, you know, if it does end up with everybody vote, I'm going to be on the losing end of it.

I would think that, at least, there would be some talk to still, even under those circumstances carve out what property we need and allow them to continue their ministry. Because for me, that's what it's always been about. Not putting them out of business.

So, again, I'd be perfectly available and perfectly willing to come to another meeting next Monday.

And I hope that maybe we can hold off for one more week and

maybe they'll -- you know, it sounds like there's been some 1 2 -- you know, some progress there. Let's give it one more 3 week. 4 COUNCILLOR FOWLER: And I can't be here next 5 Monday. 6 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Councillor, are you done? 7 Councillor Logan? 8 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: I'm done. 9 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Councillor Mackin. 10 COUNCILLOR MACKIN: I just want to note that, if I 11 don't go on the vacation I have currently scheduled, I won't 12 come back at all, because my husband will kill me. Not to 1.3 make light of the very serious situation that we have in 14 front of us, I believe all of the questions that I have were 15 answered. 16 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Thank you, Councillor Mackin. Councillor --17 18 COUNCILLOR MACKIN: I --19 COUNCILLOR BRASCO: She's still talking. 20 COUNCILLOR: She's not done. 21 COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Sorry. I'm --22 COUNCILLOR: President, she's not done. 23 COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Do I have the floor? 24 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Yeah. You do. 25 COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Thank you. Thank you,

everyone.

1.3

2.1

I believe, all the questions I had have already been answered. But, I did want to clarify through you, to Councillor Brasco, they were questioned at the meeting you had last week. Can you just tell me what the date of that was?

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: The meeting that we just had?

The most recent?

COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yeah. The most recent one.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: That was Thursday, the 21st.

COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Okay. Thank you. And at that meeting, they asked in writing what the Council was prepared to do?

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: They asked for a letter from the Council stating what all means by legal actions would mean, what that meant, clarifications.

COUNCILLOR MACKIN: All right.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Acquisition by all legal means.

COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Thank you. All right. In that case, I believe, this opinion has been aired already. But, my understanding is that, it would not be productive to go back up with an offer of \$18,000,000 and nothing to back stop it. And I think, we need to take this vote to give us a back stop to allow the negotiating room. And that, if we sent these two councillors back up with no public votes,

with an empty promise of something happened in Executive Session, that they're just going to laugh at us and they're going to run down the clock until we're out of time. we're going to be stuck with the second choice option.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And I want to remind everyone that that second choice option is not just a problem now. It's going to be a problem 50 years from now. We're locking Waltham into the flipper, where we're going to be building on a parking lot every 50 years indefinitely if we lose this parcel of land. Even if it doesn't turn out to be feasible right now, I think, protecting that land is vitally important.

Thank you. I am now finished.

Councillor Romard.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Thank you, Councillor Mackin.

COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Thank you, Ma'am Chair. guess, I have a question to you, to the attorneys. Could the two Councillors go into negotiations tomorrow with a purchase and sale agreement for 25,400,000? And ask that that be signed?

ATTORNEY CERVONE: Well, we don't have an appraisal for that with all due respect, number one. number two, there hasn't been a voting.

> COUNCILLOR ROMARD: We've offered it at one point. ATTORNEY CERVONE: No. It's up to.

Up to.

were asking again if they were willing to enter into

negotiations, up to. But, there's no vote or authorization,
so I don't --

1.3

COUNCILLOR ROMARD: We could vote for that. I guess, the point is, you know, we've gone in, asked them that we were all, that we're willing to negotiate. We sent two councillors there with that means. And now, because they didn't act on it immediately, and we didn't ask them to act on it immediately, but because they didn't, they did show good faith. We're going to dismiss everything that they've done and say, well, we're taking it.

I don't think that we've given them fair warning that we have a date that we have to do this by. Maybe they know. Maybe they don't.

So, I've never been in support of eminent domain. I wouldn't take this property on mere speculation that we think someone is going to sell. That could be said for any property along Lexington Street. It could be said for any property here in Waltham. It's mere speculation. We have letter, after letter, after letter saying that it's not for sale and they're in no negotiations now.

So that's my own personal belief. I could not go forward with an eminent domain right now. However, I do think, like anyone, yes, they're holding back. And they may be stalling. Why wouldn't they? It's their property. They don't want to sell. They're going to use any means they can

to stall.

So, I think, we need to lead the horse to water. And so, I'm saying, if, in fact, we want to have a friend negotiation, and we're looking for a purchase and sale, then we should go into the negotiations with a purchase and sale and a price. And if it can't be 25,4, it can only be up to that, then make it 24,400,000 and say, here's where we want to start and let's talk about this.

So, is there anything preventing them from doing that. And if it's simply a vote, then we take a vote to do that.

ATTORNEY CERVONE: Well, I understand. There was nothing since 2016 from preventing them from sending us a purchase and sale agreement or an offer to purchase --

COUNCILLOR ROMARD: But, they had -- so my question is, is there any law, is there anything right now? Is there any constraint that would stop --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. We don't have the \$25.4 million.

ATTORNEY CERVONE: Yes. There's only 18.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: The Council did not vote that day.

COUNCILLOR ROMARD: So that was going to be my next question. So, --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: That was put in last year.

COUNCILLOR ROMARD: -- we've offered them that. 1 2 You said that this year, since the City Council has directed 3 and the Mayor approved a final proposal of 25.4. So, why 4 would we go back to 18 now? And they'd say, well, you 5 offered 24. 6 So, my point is, can we go in with a purchase and 7 sale agreement for 25.4 and say this is what we're looking for? 8 9 Respectfully, I'm going to answer MAYOR MCCARTHY: 10 that. 11 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That would start the 12 negotiation. 13 MAYOR MCCARTHY: This was an offer letter. You 14 see, it has offer letter? 15 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yeah. MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's an offer letter. 16 So, if they wanted to execute an offer letter, which is the first 17 18 precursor to a purchase and sale, they could have signed 19 that. 20 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Okay. 21 MAYOR MCCARTHY: And they could have signed and 22 said subject to a mutually satisfactory purchase and sale. 23 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Correct. But that was a month 24 And so, now, we've sent --25 MAYOR MCCARTHY: With all due respect, this was a

legal offer executed by the City's attorneys for that very 1 2 same thing you're saying. The only difference between this 3 document and a purchase and sale is many more legal 4 provisions about how it's going to be done --5 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: So, I quess, the point is that 6 7 MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- and when it's going to be 8 Stuff like that. done. 9 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: -- for this negotiation to be 10 fair to them, to go into them with what we want to come out 11 with. Because they're not going to offer it up. So, we 12 need to do --13 MAYOR MCCARTHY: But, we did do that, Councillor. 14 So, we have to look at that --15 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Okay. So, --16 MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- the March 30th. That was the 17 -- the May 30th. That was an offer. 18 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Okay. Mayor, that was a month 19 So, do we give the Councillors this letter, or one 20 similar to it and say, this is what we need. This is what we need out of this negotiation and let's start here, rather 2.1 22 than them just going in with nothing and no goal or no --23 yeah, no goal in mind. This is the goal. 24 And if they can --25 MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, are you asking that this

offer go again? Because that's COUNCILLOR ROMARD: What? MAYOR MCCARTHY: Are you asking that offer letter go again? COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It's already COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. I can't see going in with 18,000,000. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected twice. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: And I agree. So that's what		60
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Are you asking that offer letter go again? COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It's already COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. I can't see going in with 18,000,000. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Touncillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	1	offer go again? Because that's
go again? COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It's already COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. I can't see going in with 18,000,000. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	2	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: What?
COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It's already COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. I can't see going in with 18,000,000. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	3	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Are you asking that offer letter
ATTORNEY CERVONE: It's already COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. I can't see going in with 18,000,000. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	4	go again?
COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. I can't see going in with 18,000,000. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	5	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes.
8 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. I can't see going in 9 with 18,000,000. 10 ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. 11 MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected. 12 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That 14 was before these Councillors 15 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. 16 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the 17 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the 18 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something 19 for the record. The only reason why they got that letter 20 today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and 21 Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they 22 knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. 23 And with all due respect, to dance around that, 24 you're dancing you're dancing.	6	ATTORNEY CERVONE: It's already
with 18,000,000. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	7	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes.
ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected. ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	8	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes. I can't see going in
11 MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected. 12 ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. 13 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That 14 was before these Councillors 15 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. 16 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the 17 Councillors went in, that was before the 18 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something 19 for the record. The only reason why they got that letter 20 today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and 21 Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they 22 knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. 23 And with all due respect, to dance around that, 24 you're dancing you're dancing.	9	with 18,000,000.
ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	10	ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice.
COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	11	MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was rejected.
was before these Councillors MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	12	ATTORNEY CERVONE: It was rejected twice.
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	13	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was a month ago. That
COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	14	was before these Councillors
Councillors went in, that was before the MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	15	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's okay.
MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	16	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: That was before the
for the record. The only reason why they got that letter today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	17	Councillors went in, that was before the
today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	18	MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'd just like to say something
Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	19	for the record. The only reason why they got that letter
knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay. And with all due respect, to dance around that, you're dancing you're dancing.	20	today, addressed to Joe Vizard and Councillor LeBlanc and
23 And with all due respect, to dance around that, 24 you're dancing you're dancing.	21	Councillor Brasco is, because after that last meeting, they
you're dancing you're dancing.	22	knew what by whatever legal means meant. Okay.
	23	And with all due respect, to dance around that,
COUNCILLOR ROMARD: And I agree. So that's what	24	you're dancing you're dancing.
	25	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: And I agree. So that's what

I'm saying.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, they know -- Pat, did you have any discussion about legal means? In other words, -

ATTORNEY AZADI: I identified -- Councillor

LeBlanc and I both said, speaking in a more hypothetical.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: At the meeting, you had a

discussion.

ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes. Speaking more hypothetically, there are three ways to acquire a property by a City, by purchase and sale, by a friendly taking, and that's where --

COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Again --

ATTORNEY AZADI: -- under the charter, we're paying more --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I want to know if there was a discussion about what the term that --

ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- they wanted in writing was.

Did you have a discussion?

ATTORNEY AZADI: I had a discussion. And Councillor LeBlanc did also. Saying that there are three ways a City can generally acquire property. The first is by purchase. The second is by so-called friendly taking. That's where the price is higher than the average assessed

value for the last three years, plus 25 percent. And the 1 2 third is a regular eminent domain taking where it's not by 3 agreement. So, was the priest and the 4 MAYOR MCCARTHY: 5 attorney present when you were talking? 6 ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes. They were both present. 7 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. Thank you. Respectfully. COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Okay. So, my point is, it 8 9 doesn't make sense to me, when we've already offered 25.4 or 10 up to 25.4, to go in with 18. That's silly. So, it's a waste of time. 11 12 So, my point is, to send the Councillors back, 1.3 because we've offered to negotiate. So, I think, we need to 14 fulfill that. It doesn't make sense to me to go in now and 15 slap an eminent domain, because we have a deadline that we 16 didn't explain to them. I don't think it was explained about the deadline. 17 18 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Oh, they most certainly knew 19 about the deadline, because I can provide communication 20 after communication --21 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Did they know about the 22 September 30th --23 MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- about the exigent 24 circumstances. 25 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Did they know about the --

1 ATTORNEY AZADI: If I could interrupt. 2 Councillors LeBlanc and Councillor Brasco specifically said 3 there are exigencies. We need to take action. The Council 4 is going --5 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: But, did they give a Yes. 6 date? Did anybody say --7 The date is not for their MAYOR MCCARTHY: information Councillor --8 9 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Okay. 10 MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- with all due respect. 11 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: I mean, if it's going to be --12 if you're going to negotiate in good faith, you negotiate in good faith. 1.3 14 So, my point would be, I would suggest --15 If you wish to make another MAYOR MCCARTHY: motion, there's a motion on the floor. I'm just saying, if 16 17 you wish to make a motion, that's up to you. 18 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: And so, with that, I will make 19 a motion --20 MAYOR MCCARTHY: There's a motion pending. 21 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: -- that the Councillors go 22 back to the Stigmatines, to continue to negotiate in 23 friendly means with the order --24 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Point of order. 25 COUNCILLOR FOWLER: The motion is out of order.

COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Why is that out of order? COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: The motion is out of ord MAYOR MCCARTHY: There's already a motion. PRESIDENT LEBLANC: We need to address Councill Stanley's motion first. And there are	or
MAYOR MCCARTHY: There's already a motion. PRESIDENT LEBLANC: We need to address Councill	or
4 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: We need to address Councill	
5 Stanley's motion first And there are	ome
o dealite, a motion filter. That energy are	ome
6 MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, there are still	ome
7 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Okay. All right. We can o	
8 back to it. If that does not pass, then	
9 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Right. So, Councillor Harr	is
10 is on the list. Councillor Stanley would be second time	
11 around. Councillor Harris.	
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Thank you. Can Joe go out and	l
13 please	
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Can Joe go out, please, and ta	.lk
to the police about it's really getting kind of loud.	
Because before, they were downstairs.	
17 COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Why are we paying them, if	
18 they're not going to do that?	
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Well, they weren't told that t	hey
20 wanted	
You know what I mean, you can't hear.	
22 COUNCILLOR: I know.	
PRESIDENT LEBLANC: If we could return to order	`,
24 please.	
25 Councillor Harris.	

COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Thank you, Ma'am President. I want to extend the deep appreciation and gratitude to both the President and also Councillor Brasco -- invocations and clearly, in a matter of a few weeks, moved frankly, what's what's been been not --

1.3

2.1

I too have been this last week and and followed with due dilligence.

When I ran for office, I spoke on eminent domain and spoke of -- like many Councillors here, I'm not a fan of eminent domain. I feel that, if the Whittemore School needed to be extended, and homes were taken over there, that would really I think, affect me and affect my neighbors, affect many. But, we're elected to office to sometimes make decisions that take us outside of our comfort zones and require us to make deliberations based on fact.

I've read countless, countless documents from the Stigmatines, from the City, where initially, there were friendly negotiations. And then, as soon as Attorney Patton gets involved, things seemed to go sideways.

I'm concerned that the paper passing between the two parties, not matter what's written on it, not matter what number it is, is not going to bring the strength of negotiation that needs to be brought to bring this matter to a conclusion. There is the ability to get to a friendly taking. But, you need authority in negotiations.

Many of you know that I work as a consultant in health care. And a lot of my work is merger and acquisition. And you must be in a strength position in order to negotiate, number one. And the strength is clearly in the leadership that has been brought forward. It is clearly taking these folks to a point where they begin to understand that, "this is serious".

1.3

2.1

What also is serious is constituents opinions.

So, this past weekend and the weekend prior, I met with over

30 family members who have reached out to me. And you know,

maybe they are reaching out to me because I'm their

Councillor, but I would look up and see where they lived,

and thinking now, is this just North Waltham. More than 80

percent of them live within a few houses of mine and I

actually know them from my work on the Whittemore.

And I ran on, you know, a commitment to my neighbors that I would be their neighbor and their voice.

I'm going on the record here tonight to state clearly why I would vote positively for eminent domain. And it isn't to go negatively against the priests, what they stand for, because I'm a deeply religious person.

I would vote for eminent domain so that would give Councillor Brasco and Ma'am President and the Mayor the authority to do this negotiation. If you count the weeks on those little calendars that were handed out, there's 13

weeks left. And then, some other decision's got to be made.

And then, some people have to be back from vacation.

1.3

2.1

When you have to execute a business deal, something of this size and magnitude, there has to be a decision made. And this body has to decide either for or against.

I would vote tonight positively for eminent domain to give the authority for you folks to be able to go forward and negotiate so that we could get to a clear number by the deadline, September 30th. And also, I know, under your stewardship, that these priests would have a respectful location either on site or whatever you guys negotiate to be able to exist and carry on their mission.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, first time around, Councillor Darcy.

COUNCILLOR DARCY: Thank you. I want this read into the record. I wrote this two months ago.

"To plan for a new state of the art high school, City leaders knew they would need land sufficiently large and centrally located in Waltham. On May 15, 1967, then Waltham Mayor, Richard F. Dacey, Jr, and the Waltham City Council approved the taking of three separate yet contiguous parcels of land near Lexington Street by eminent domain for educational purposes. 3.79 acre from Coleman/Stanton families, 6.44 acre from the Cambridge Council of Boy

Scouts, Incorporated, and 20.46 acre parcel from the Theodore Lyman Storrer, for a total of 30.69 acres."

1.3

"The damages paid included the following, 9475 to the Coleman/Stanton families, 16,000 to the Cambridge Council of Boy Scouts, and 51,150 to Theodore L. Storrer for a total cost of \$76,625 for 30.69 acres which amounted to 2497,000 -- sorry -- 200 and \$497 per acre. The Coleman/Stanton land was used for the access road away from Lexington Street, now called Jack's Way. The Cambridge Council Boy Scouts's land, where Harding Field is now located. And the bulk of the acreage was taken from Theodore Storrer and the Payne Estate, where the current high school parking lot is now situated."

"Ironically, back in 1955, Theodore Storrer, and the Payne Estate, had previously donated many acres to the Boy Scouts on which he sat as board of director in Cambridge. 6 acres of their parcel are now taken back by the City of Waltham. In 1979, the Boy Scouts sold their remaining land, named Camp Ted, after Theodore Storrer, as their wood lot had been cut off for the remainder of the Payne Estate. Their parcel would later become the Pigeon Hill subdivision."

"The architect of the new high school was Fenton G. Keys Associates of Waltham. Initial cost of the high school was \$7,200,000. The school was quickly constructed

in just over two years, opened on Monday, September 8, 1969 to its 2100 Waltham students in grades 10, 11 and 12."

1.3

2.1

"One can only hope that the planning and construction of the new high school in Waltham in 2018 will happen as quickly as it did back in 1967."

How many more students, children, do we want in the chamber next month? I mean, this has gone on far enough. I'm getting phone calls and e-mails, people accosting me in the supermarket saying, what are you going to do for the children, for the 6000 children. So, I'd like the Councilor from Ward 2, the Councillor from Ward 4, Councillor for Ward 7, and I think, the Councillor from Ward 5 and the Councillor at large, and our State Representative -- I won't speak -- I think, others would speak on it themselves and the Councillor from Ward 8.

We need to take it to the next level, empower these three individuals, who we all trust to do a great job, because they're fair people, Mayor McCarthy, Council President LeBlanc and Senior Councillor At Large, Councillor Brasco, who I think we all will trust to negotiate fairly with the Stigmatine father.

So, I, like many of the others that have spoken before me, support this eminent domain taking. And I think, it's the right thing at this time. It's just gone on for too long. So, I support the eminent domain taking.

Honestly, I hope you all think about what we have outside here for the last four weeks. And it's only going to get bigger. They're not backing down. They want a high school. They want a state of the art high school. And this is for Waltham. This is for our children and our grandcihldren.

1.3

The most important thing -- this is the most important vote that any one of you will take in the history of you on the City Council. The most important vote, no doubt. The most important vote. I know, a few of you know, make the right vote. Thank you.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Anyone else for first time around?

Councillor Vidal, will you take the chair.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: It is deeply troubling to me, that a vote on a hostile eminent domain taking is being forced tonight. It was only three weeks ago that this body voted to have Councillor Brasco and I go forward to reopen talks and work toward a purchase and sale or a friendly taking.

We have had only two meetings. And we have reported back that we are making significant progress. At the first meeting, we worked toward establishing trust and emphasized moving forward in a positive way. At the most recent meeting, just this past Thursday, we effectively

conveyed the urgency of acquiring the property to be used for a new high school for our children.

1.3

2.1

They requested a letter to share with the Superior General in Rome and indicated that they would get us something in writing to indicate we were moving forward in the right direction, if we could produce that letter. But, we failed to get them that letter. And I understand why. It's our process. They have their process, too.

It's troubling to me that we have continued to say Father White can do this deal on his own when, in fact, he cannot. As he has explained to Councillor Brasco and myself on two occasions, one with Attorney Azadi present, not fully understanding their organizational structure and authorities proved problematic on the first go round. Why would we repeat that mistake?

The letter from Atlantic Management dated June 12, 2017 clearly states that they made it clear that any deal was subject to the approval of Rome. Allowing us just a bit more time for us to continue meeting, perhaps, for the Superior General to meet with the leadership of the Council again and our Mayor, to come face to face with local leadership would seem to be a better option if, in fact, this Council truly wants to avoid a hostile taking.

A hostile taking is not a win/win. If we do a hostile taking tonight, we will not begin as a community to

heal tomorrow. While many in the school community who support a hostile taking have been well briefed on our proceedings, many that might be opposed have been completely left in the dark, because we are in Executive Session.

Where is the transparency for them?

1.3

I also want to emphasize that parents of school aged children are not unanimous in their support for a hostile taking of the Stigmatine property. Many of the communications that I have received have asked for a purchase or a negotiated sale.

I'm troubled because there is no new evidence to support what is being claimed, that the Stigmatines are moving forward to develop their land. They have consistently maintained their position.

The letter from Atlantic Management to the Council dated July 31, 2017 clearly states how they came to possess the subdivision plans from Avalon. These are not evidence of a pending development.

I am troubled that anyone would consider information received from anonymous sources that have not been verified to be legitimate evidence. The rumors around this property, this matter, have been insane. Before this came into Executive Session, I heard from someone, who heard from someone, that the City bought the site for \$38,000,000. The source, a cafeteria worker at the Stigmatines. Clearly,

they were wrong.

1.3

If we were in receipt of any legitimate evidence, not circumstantial evidence, but legitimate evidence to suggest that they were moving forward to develop this land, I would move to take it in a heartbeat by an eminent domain action. The evidence is not there.

Father White has restated to Councillor Brasco and myself that there are no secret deals with anyone, including the Archdiocese. It's troubling to me that, as a body, we never discussed in any detail the 32 acres that abuts the Stigmatine owned by the City and the role that it might play in a truly friendly taking that allowed the Stigmatines to remain on their property and continue their ministry and allow them to care for their retired priests, while at the same time allowing us to build a school for our children on the upper portion of the land.

Could we have gotten a friendly deal if we had talked about that?

It is troubling to me that this taking is often compared to taking a portion of land from religious entities at the intersection of Lexington and Totten Pond Road for road improvement. They are not the same. We did not take their church. We did not take their ministry. We did not take their home. We did not force them out of Waltham.

A hostile eminent domain taking is a vote more

than just take the home of retired priests. It is a vote to terminate a ministry, to kick them out of Waltham and to prevent them from practicing their religion. It's trouble to me that it took us four years to do a friendly taking of Arrigo Farm because of all of the complexities. But, somehow, the complexities don't matter tonight. And for some reason, we can't take a little bit more time.

1.3

2.1

I have to believe that the Mass School Board would give us a little bit more time. Councillor Brasco and I talked after our last meeting. And I understand, the City, the Mayor, our attorneys, they've been through a rough two years on this. They've worked hard. It hasn't gone the way that we wanted it to go.

But, Councillor Brasco and I talked after that meeting. And we both felt really good about that meeting. I believe we can get a purchase and sale or negotiate a friendly taking. And I think that we can do it quickly. And I would implore this body to give us just a little bit more time.

The Ward 2 Councillor says this is the most important vote we'll take. I agree with him. I want a school as badly as all of you. I want a state of the art school for our children. I want to get this done. But, I don't want it to be through a hostile eminent domain taking. And we can kid ourselves by saying that, well, -- and it's

not kidding. It's fact.

1.3

So, we vote this hostile eminent domain action tonight. And we do have 30 days to see if we can change it, make it right. But, this is a hostile action. I can't support it. Not to say that, if this body should vote this out, that I would absolutely do my very best going back with Councillor Brasco to get the best possible deal for the City. But, again, a hostile taking will not have my vote.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Thank you, Councillor LeBlanc.

Ma'am President, you have the floor.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: First of all, the source of my information, any and all information is not innuendo. It's nothing. It's legal information that was provided to Mr. Edward Bowler, the City's hired contractor to do the appraisal.

So, all of the information about any of the things in the past that the Stigmatines were considering, whether it be 2015, 2016, 2016, when we were involved, prior to our involvement, is all based upon information that was provided by Mr. Bowler to the City attorneys or to me. And that information was provided by Atlantic Management. Also, information was provided directly by the Stigmatines at that time.

So, when I first got involved with this, I was told to deal. So, Atlantic Management came to the office.

They scheduled an appointment. I was to deal with their real estate company, Atlantic Management.

1.3

So, none of the information that I have about what they were engaging prior to the City's involvement, as a matter of fact, they gave me some communications directly as part of the appraisal process that was provided to us, meaning me individually, as part of Atlantic Management, additionally, information was provided.

So, it's not speculation. It's not innuendo.

But, that being said, the problem here is this, everybody

wants their way. So, I'm going to do something that I think

will get us to everybody hopefully voting for this.

And then, it will be public that it will vote for this, because as Mayor, I have to come up with the high school plan. And I'm not going back to say what site, because, with all due respect, I couldn't get enough votes to have the City land that's unrestricted right next to the high school, enough votes from this body.

And now, there is a restricted parcel right next to the Stigmatines which is the former Sanderson Heights property, of which there was another appraiser involved in those days. Okay. That one has a conservation restriction. That would be harder. You have to go back to the state. You've got to go to the Department of Interior, whatever it is at the state level.

But, there was another parcel that didn't have those restrictions, with all due respect, the parcel on Sanderson Heights has neighbors next to it. But, there was another parcel right next to the high school that I was asking initially that that would be used to augment the land for the high school.

2.1

That was -- we had three parcels. We went through all of that, because I started these Executive Sessions in May. I just made four for the month of June hoping that we could get some headway.

So, respectfully, we didn't look at that parcel because I had one that was unrestricted that was able to be used right now. So, it didn't have all the restrictions on that. Similar to, I had suggested, when we were at the joint convention at the School Department, School Committee, that maybe if I had the Orange Parcel, which was right next to the high school, if we could go with that one. But, that also creates a lot of things.

So, I'm going to suggest that this Council vote out a friendly taking for \$18,000,000. A friendly taking for \$18,000,000. And that's a taking. That's a taking.

And now, the question becomes, at what point do you come back with a friendly taking. Because, in order to get to the price, and this is the key, if I say what the price is, now, with all due respect, the only reason why you

got that letter is because the lawyer can understand exactly what the two representatives said and to indicate otherwise that you didn't know.

1.3

2.1

Why would I give a letter when I don't know what the price is. Why would -- or were you going to continue to bargain against ourselves? So, if you're so concerned that this is a hostile taking, it was always going to be a taking, because the amount of money that they wanted. Let's be serious about that.

So, if you want to take the word unfriendly and make it friendly, John, just say we understand that the vote -- well, just hold on for a minute. With all due respect, the vote would be friendly here. Would be for a friendly taking. But that goes out. And it would be held for 30 days. And if it gets out, nobody, I am not speaking -- the authority of the body is what you're talking about.

You voted for a friendly taking in the beginning, because I have communications from Atlantic Management to pursue the friendly taking option. And I provided all of that to you. Now, what it would do is, you do the taking.

Now, you say to them it's friendly for up to 30 days. Okay.

But, they now have the authority that they need; correct? And you have the message that you need. Because if you don't vote a friendly taking, and now it's up to them to make it a friendly. So, I want to talk about this for a

minute, because the taking is going to be a taking no matter what.

2.1

Because the \$15,000,000 is what the appraised property is. So, you cannot pay more than appraised value. Now, they're going to go into what was discussed about values and this and that. But, bottom line is, we have an appraisal by a certified appraiser who is, I guess, he's the City's appraiser, independent appraiser. He came in at 15,000. So that's what you're authorized. That's what you're authorized.

That would be a friendly taking, 15,000,000. Now, the 18 can also be a friendly. But, bottom line is this, that will be recorded in 30 days if they don't step to the plate and come up with an agreement that's mutually agreed by the parties.

So, I want to see what the lawyers say, because I'm going to do a taking order. It will be for 18,000,000 and it's going to be friendly. And in this room, it's friendly. Okay.

Now, because you don't put on your documents if it is a friendly or not, the authorization is there. So, it's a taking for 18,000,000. But, you're going to say, with the negotiation, that the three, the Mayor, the two, and I will not allow -- I will not allow anyone to breach this Executive Session with the Stigmatines or any other school

people. I warned you about that already. I've warned you in the letter that I sent out that these negotiations were being breached. And I did that letter early on in this process.

1.3

2.1

So, the bottom line is, the authority that you have is to try to convert it to whatever it is going to be. But, if you do not vote something, if you go and you say, yeah, there's not one person in this room that wants to do an unfriendly taking. Not one. And that's the reason why we've had this for years, because nobody wants to do it.

As a matter of fact, the first time I did it was because they wouldn't even meet. They wouldn't even meet while we had a legal agreement in place. But, as soon as push came to shove, they wanted to meet right away. As a matter of fact, that's the only time they actually sat down and did it. And I see the same pattern right now. The same pattern is right here.

But, so, the understanding is, so you can relay that message that that is a friendly taking for \$18,000,000. A friendly taking. But, it has to be a taking. And if anybody doesn't understand that it's a taking -- say you come up with more money, what do you think, it's not going to be a friendly taking?

The question is unfriendly here. Unfriendly. But, you need to vote the taking, because you need to vote

the taking because, if you don't vote the taking, you might 1 2 as well say, Mayor, go home. Come up with the plan for the 3 high school, and that's it. Because quite frankly, this 4 letter that's a public document, you're going to not have a 5 public document in return? Really, ladies and gentlemen? 6 Can I see that document again? Where is it? 7 Diane, you have it? Council President. 8 Because, quite frankly, --9 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: It's here. 10 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. Thank you. 11 Quite frankly, that's a public document on 12 You notice how it was created as a public document 13 on purpose, the first time, the first document was a public 14 document, too. Let's go back to that public document. 15 Let's go back to that public document. Let's read that 16 document. All right. Let's read that. 17 I'd like to read two things into the record. 18 We've got them already. But, let's remind ourselves of what 19 this is, because I can solve this. 20 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Mayor, here's the letter. 2.1 you still need it? 22 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let me see it. June 19th. 23 Attorney Adam B. Patton. "Dear Attorney Patton, City

acquisition of 554 Lexington Street, Stigmatine property

meeting request. I'll be brief given the exigencies.

24

25

City Council designees who had met with you and Father White had hoped and expected that they would have heard from you to arrange additional meetings relating to the above this past week. But, they did not hear from you."

1.3

2.1

"After the City Council's meeting last night, and given the exigencies, it's imperative that we hear from you to arrange further meetings before next Monday, June 25th Council meeting, designed to move toward substantive resolution to facilitate the above."

"Please feel free to call me with any questions.

If it would expedite the scheduling, I can arrange to be with the City Council designees to discuss such scheduling via telephone conference call with you or your client today or tomorrow."

Then, now, this is the next public document.

Public document. Have we made our documents public? No. Because we're negotiating in good faith.

"City Council President Diane LeBlanc, City
Councillor Paul Brasco, care of City Clerk, City of Waltham,
610 Main Street, City Hall, Second Floor. Letter from John
B. Cervone, Esquire, dated June 19, 2018."

"Dear Councillors, the letter received today from the City Solicitor John Cervone is mystifying to the Stigmatines and to me. We certainly did not walk away from our meeting believing we had agreed or expected to set additional meetings. As you know, the meeting was held on June 11th, was arranged on short notice at your request. Are you requesting another meeting? If so, I suggest you follow the procedure you used last time. In other words, you send the two Councillors. A letter from both of you to the Stigmatines."

1.3

2.1

"The letter from John Cervone is in opposite and completely misses both the tone and tenor of the meeting. Our understanding of our meeting was that you would report back to the Council our conversation with the Stigmatine assurances that they were looking through the process as expeditiously as possible, but could not commit to a time table."

"We discussed out continued disgust of the false rumors circulating stating the Stigmatines have plans to develop the property. We also discussed our opposition to the proposed zone change. Subject to Father White's schedule, he will try to make himself available if you wish to meet again."

And that goes cc: to Cervone, cc: to Father Robert S. White, CSS. And that's June 20th.

Today is June what? 25th? So, these two public documents are out there. Public document, public document.

Now, why do I say that? In all due respect, this has been difficult from the beginning, because not only were

the individuals that have a true desire to keep the ministry open, and the true desire of Atlantic Management was, to get them a place that they could actually build for them. Take some of the money and downsize because they were downsizing the number of priests.

1.3

And that was the goal that was stated to me. There was no stating to me that they were going to expand upon the ministry, that they were going to bring all these other people. As a matter of fact, they indicated to me, Atlantic Management — and you have to understand, with all due respect, the Atlantic Management people are very, very forthright people. They're in the business. And right until the end, they were very, very up front and professional.

So, at no point did they say, oh, we're going to grow the ministry. As a matter of fact, they were trying to help them out in a very difficult situation to try to say it's a very sensitive issue. The number of priests are declining. We want to take care of them. And they were going to explore all options.

And Atlantic did explore all options. And you all were provided with copies, those who were Councillors at the time were provided that.

So, I don't want to get into the past. But, what I want to say is this, 18,000,000 is already an unfriendly

taking. It is, because of the price. It's more than our appraised value. So, normally, see that lawyer over there, and that lawyer over there, they would say, Mayor, you can only put in the 15,000,000. And I said to them, I can't get into the conversation, but I'll say what I feel. 18,000,000 is what I did in good faith. I worked with Atlantic on that figure.

1.3

2.1

Now, granted, the lawyer didn't agree to the figure. I understand that. But, to go back and say that all of this -- there were parties on both sides interfering with this process. So much so that, I had a conversation when I first met with Father White, that this was interfering with both sides.

And I can understand people being interested in trying to save their ministry. I can understand that. But, I also have to understand that elected officials have to do what elected officials's work is. In other words, yeah, you have the right to vote however you feel, but no one can interfere with the process.

So, there was interference on both sides of this.

Okay. So that being said, I'm going to bring that out right now. Vote the 18. Tell them it's a friendly taking, because right here, and see how fast it gets out. I'm tired of this getting out. I'm tired of the stuff getting out of this chamber. And --

ATTORNEY CERVONE: And -- sorry. Go ahead.

1.3

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. Thank you. And I'm tired of that because, quite frankly, our job is to represent the City of Waltham. Our job is not to represent anybody else. And at that table, everybody was Catholic except for one, I think, when we met with the Stigmatines, when we met with the Stigmatines in Rome, they were all Catholic. So, this is not a religious issue, because everybody doesn't want to do it.

But, at some point, ladies and gentlemen, I've said in the record, the issue of a friendly taking or unfriendly taking, from the very beginning, you authorized me to do a taking. No, we did not. Well, of course, you did. The price was more than \$15,000,000.

So, going forward, I understand. Give them whatever they need. But, if you don't vote anything tonight, friendly or otherwise, -- now, it might be an unfriendly taking. It might be a friendly taking. I don't know yet. Because until that 30 days happens, -- but I do agree with the minority Councillors, maybe majority Councillors, that, you know, we should give them a chance to have one more meeting. I agree with that.

But, last week, he didn't want to have any meetings. But, all of a sudden, once they found out from the two mostly talking, hey, they're serious now, there's

been a substantial shift. And there's sufficient votes.

So, they got that message. And that's why you got that public document. So, what are you going to tell your public? What are you going to tell your public? That the City Council is not united in something? And see, if you vote the taking for the \$18,000,000 and you instruct Cervone to go to the meeting, tell them, they had to vote it. They do. They have to vote it because it's beyond the 15,000,000.

That's the appraisal we have. And quite frankly,

I have never done a real estate deal, ever done a real

estate deal where the party never gives the price. I must

remind you, ladies and gentlemen, when did you get the

price? Tell me, when did you get the price?

The price for the first time was given by a written communication to the City Council before the attorney came here to speak. That's the only time a price was provided to the City of Waltham in any capacity. And that was provided directly. Is this not correct, Ms. Azadi and Mr. Cervone?

ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes.

ATTORNEY CERVONE: Yes.

ATTORNEY AZADI: And no appraisal with it.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: And not an appraisal, yeah. And was provided directly to the City Council just like they're

doing now, providing something directly as a public document. So that was a public document. This is a public document.

1.3

Now, I hope and I pray that this matter moves on. But, to indicate that this is not an unfriendly taking, it is, because your appraisal that you were provided was for \$15,000,000. Everything else, and I'm going to say this for the record, everything else is speculation.

But, from the very beginning, they wanted that speculative value. Why? Because it's about money. They wanted that speculative value because they knew, in August of 2016, how many units -- no, excuse me -- how many lots could be created. And it was 96 or 99. That's a difference of \$1,000,000 approximately give or take. 1,000,000.

So, for a difference of 1,000,000, from 15, that's 16. So, ladies and gentlemen, you have an appraisal for highest best use by right. That is your legal obligation to go by your appraisal. But, in good faith, because I was negotiating in good faith all along, they wanted me to sign for 18. And we had many talks about appraised value, assessed value. We had many conversations with the bank management. But, it came down to 18,000,000.

So that's 18,000,000 what you have right now. Respectfully, you vote the taking. I'm willing to have constraints on my negotiation power to go in and say,

listen, they voted it, but they really do want a friendly purchase and sale here. But, not to vote it, not to vote anything, let me say it again, it was always going to be an unfriendly taking from the first communication from Atlantic Management in January of 2016 which all of you were provided.

1.3

They wanted me to explore other things. And I understand that. And so that speculation, they wanted me to explore. They wanted me to explore various uses that were not allowed by right under the current zoning. And I'm only going to say that. I can only go by the current zoning. But, in fairness, I put forth the 18. I'm willing to do more. But, I would respectfully, you have to vote the taking. And then, restrict the authority 'til you have your one more meeting.

And if it gets out, if it gets out, then you have no one to blame but yourself. Because the message will be, there's a vote for a taking. Okay. We would like it to be friendly, that's fine. Say it. I don't have a problem with saying that. But, your vote has to be a vote, because it's more than \$15,000,000. And if you don't understand that, respectfully, ask the two City attorneys.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Thank you, Ma'am President.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: First of all, I want to go back

to --

2.1

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: I was the first one, yes.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Then, you are next, and then,

Councillor Logan.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Mayor, thank you for that.

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: I was first on the list.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: He was. It's a rebuttal.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Can I just ask a couple of questions?

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yes. Exactly, thank you, Mayor.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, --

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: It's a rebuttal from when the Mayor has been the speaking for the ten minutes. If you -COUNCILLOR STANLEY: No, no. We have rules. So,
I'm first on the list, second time around.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor Stanley.

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Thank you.

I appreciate what the Mayor's trying to do here, trying to bend over backwards to, you know, have more momentum going forward than we had into tonight, but I believe, we -- I'm sticking with my motion that you have to vote to take the property. And again, to send Councillor Brasco and Councillor LeBlanc and the Mayor, to meet with them tomorrow. And with getting -- go in and get the correct wording from the lawyers, and then, substitute the

But, if we get, you know, that message could language then. be conveyed by meeting with them and telling them, look this is what we can do. But, we're here to negotiate. I mean, that's -- I don't think we should be weakening our position whatsoever.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I have to respond. Respectfully, you're still going to vote the taking.

> Absolutely. COUNCILLOR STANLEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MAYOR MCCARTHY: For \$18,000,000. But the issue is, the message that they're going to be authorized to give is, you know, similar to before, where the value is only 15. So, you're still going to vote the taking.

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Right. But, I just don't think we should use the word friendly, because then that sends signals to them that oh, we still have more time.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Oh, no. That would not leave this room. In other words, the vote on the taking would have to come out as normal. It would be \$18,000,000 for whatever purposes you're going to have. And that would be the public vote.

What I'm saying is that you can still say to them, because they're not going to agree to the 18,000,000. could still say to them, although they took that legal vote, they still would like to have a friendly sale.

> COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Right.

1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm saying that's authority that 2 you would give separate, Councillor Stanley. 3 COUNCILLOR STANLEY: So, in that regard, if that 4 were to pass, what can we say in public session after we 5 take the vote in private session? 6 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Well, first of all, what I would 7 say is, your vote speaks for itself. But, there's going to 8 be another meeting with the Stigmatines. 9 COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Right. 10 MAYOR MCCARTHY: And that will be --COUNCILLOR STANLEY: And that will be in the 11 12 motion. 13 MAYOR MCCARTHY: That will be in --14 COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Right. 15 MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, you would do your motion, 16 okay, for the 18, for school purposes or whatever it's going 17 to be, right. So that would come out and be done. 18 Everything else, you would decide to say, but we're 19 scheduling another meeting with the Stigmatines for tomorrow. 20 21 COUNCILLOR STANLEY: All right. So, I moved my 22 question or move my motion, rather. 23 CLERK VIZARD: So, let me just -- your motion was 24 for the lawyers to draft the taking order? 25 COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Right.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: ?could I ask for clarification We recently got out of there. And I'm glad to the Mayor. the Councillor who just -- I think we could clarify that, I thought you said so that we could, those of us who don't want to vote a hostile taking, I thought, you said that you would have Attorney Cervone prepare a document that said it was a friendly taking. MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. The record would reflect that, you're going back with an unfriendly -- with a friendly authority. PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, --MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because they've already rejected the 18,000,000. PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, the vote is --MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, both parties win here is what I'm trying to do. PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, the vote is for a hostile taking, but --MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. The vote is for a taking with no reference to hostile or otherwise. The vote is for a taking. Then, you will have another motion to have authority to these two and me to go to the meeting. Now, I already told you that I will be in another But, the lawyers will go. room. So, in other words, what you would say publicly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1 is, this is the vote. Whatever it is. The Clerk would 2 announce the vote when you come out in public session. And 3 it would also say, but we're having another meeting with the 4 Stigmatines tomorrow. Okay. 5 So that's the part you can say. We have another 6 meeting scheduled with the Stigmatines tomorrow. 7 do. 8 So, what I'm saying is, in this negotiation, 9 you're saying, listen, we want it to be friendly. 10 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: I understand that. 11 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. So, a taking is a taking. 12 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Right. Yes. 13 MAYOR MCCARTHY: And all I'm saying to you is the 14 other one --15 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Thank you. 16 MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, you know what I'm saying. 17 So, in other words, the minutes will reflect what your 18 authority is. And that gives the 30 days. That gives the 19 City an ability to negotiate in good faith. And it also 20 gives them an ability to try to come up with a friendly 2.1 purchase. 22 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, this vote is an unfriendly 23 taking. But, it's --24 MAYOR MCCARTHY: It's a taking. 25 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Right. I understand that.

	95
1	Thank you.
2	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: And so, I actually have the
3	wording. So,
4	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Can I see?
5	I think you'd better go have Joe make some copies
6	of this so he can look at it first.
7	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Are we going to withdraw the
8	motion for the record, or because we have
9	MAYOR MCCARTHY: No.
10	ATTORNEY CERVONE: This is about the motion.
11	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. I think, they need to see
12	this document.
13	ATTORNEY CERVONE: All right.
14	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Do you?
15	ATTORNEY CERVONE: Yeah.
16	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. So, do you want Pat to go
17	and do it or Joe? Which one would you like? Pat can go
18	into my office or Joe can go to his office. What would you
19	like?
20	CLERK VIZARD: You can even go together if you
21	guys want.
22	MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. Here. Then, put it
23	in an envelope.
24	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Should we have a
25	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Declare a two minute recess.

	96
1	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: So, a two minute recess.
2	(Off the record from 8:23 p.m. to 8:36 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: We're back to order at 8:36. Mr.
4	Stanley, you have the floor still.
5	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: I withdraw the previous
6	motion and put forth this motion to take the property for
7	18,000,000. Everyone
8	MAYOR MCCARTHY: You have to vote on the
9	withdrawal.
10	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: No, we never took a vote.
11	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Never took a vote. Okay. Thank
12	you. I guess, it hasn't been voted.
13	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: So as long as no other
14	Councillor objects
15	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: So, I put forward this
16	motion. Everyone, I
17	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Provide us a copy of the motion.
18	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: To take the property for
19	\$18,000,000.
20	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Point of order. Point of
21	order?
22	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yes.
23	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Is this going out to the
24	public session when we're done?
25	MAYOR MCCARTHY: We're going to discuss this right

Okay. Okay. Thank you.

now.

1

2

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Mayor MCCARTHY: We have to finish what you're going to do. There's going to be another motion. This looks right, with the exception, this looks like the

COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN:

education use, open space, or a combination thereof. So,

the only thing I think was changed there was that based upon

original taking order. Okay. With the exception of

9 | last week's vote.

In other words, last week's vote, it had three votes; remember? And so, I think, it was Councillor Logan that asked for a combination thereof; right? The result of the motions.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: A combination.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Was it education use, open space or combination thereof.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Yes.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I believe that was your motion?

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Yeah.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. So that, everything else, I have to trust that the lawyers did. It's the same one from before. The one that was originally put forward.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: All right.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: All right. On the motion, second time around, Councillor Logan.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: So, originally, when you described what you said, let's go do this like that, I thought it was important. But now, I'm not too sure, because I thought what you were suggesting was that we take a vote on a friendly taking. But, that when you met the Stigmatines, we were going to finesse this. Because people — there's a few, including myself, as still not comfortable with the idea of taking a vote on a hostile taking.

1.3

2.1

And it's just a taking. You can vote a taking without saying it's friendly or hostile. I mean, in other words, I don't get a second bit at that apple. I don't get a chance to say, well, I didn't want a hostile taking. You could take that particular property --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, respectfully, it's always been a taking because the price is more than the appraised value of 15. Okay. Now, what I was suggesting is, that you take this vote. And then, you're going to give certain authority or restrictions on authority to the team for that third day of hearing. And you know, if there's any problems after the next meeting, so, in other words, you have to take this vote public. But, you're going to also say, but we have a meeting scheduled with the Stigmatines for tomorrow, a previously scheduled meeting for tomorrow. However you want to say it. You know what I mean.

So, that, if you were just going to take the

taking vote, we wouldn't add anything else. But, we have a previously scheduled meeting tomorrow.

2.1

You have to remember this, what is public are his two communications. So, you have a communication that is completely opposite of any attempt to try to do anything positive. And then, you have this one.

So, those are two public records already, because they sent them to the City Clerk. They did not send them to the City attorney. So, there was a deliberate attempt, and I'm not going to say wrongful. I'm just saying, there was a deliberate attempt to send it to the City Clerk, assistant.

So, and he'll probably say, well, that's the way I always did it. But, lawyers know, when you're represented by counsel, you have to send it to the lawyer. And then, it makes it an attorney/client privileged document. So, he didn't do it that way.

So, what we're going to say is, but -- you know what I mean. Because, you know, when he's contacted, he's going to say, well, I did this, dah, dah, dah. So, I don't know how else to do it except you're going to basically give them certain authority to go forward within that 30 days.

You see what I mean?

So, he's not going to know that. Except, they're going to -- if you vote the authority, they're going to say, the Council would still like to make, you know, an agreed

purchase to this. Okay. And let him -- of course, he'll be all angry and everything else. Forget it. We'll see you in court.

1.3

2.1

But, the bottom line is, that is where this authority is going to go to them and me. So, you're going to -- you know, the public's not going to know that, except that you -- and we will be attending a meeting tomorrow.

So, if you just took this one without the other one, I agree with you. It looks like, well, we're trying to do everything good here. And you see what I mean? You have to take the one from June 20th, five days before, which was no, we can't, we can't, we can't. And I'd hope nothing gets out public until they have a good opportunity to go back up there and say, okay. Now, let's talk. Let's talk. Let's talk and try to see if we can come up with it.

But, if we continue to posture back and forth, you can't say friendly or unfriendly taking on the taking order. Because when they record it, it's by definition whether or not it was agreed to or not. You see what I mean? So, --

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: I do. But, I --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. But the record, --

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: You just started talking, I

23 kind of hope that maybe there's something there --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: The record will reflect that you want it to be that way, and you're giving them the authority

that preferably -- just like last time you said, preferably we want it for school use, and if not, open space.

When I'm saying preferably, it's go forward. But, it's really up to both parties. And I can tell you this, from my standpoint, and I believe this even to this day, from my standpoint, when I offered to split the difference, which most lawyers would do, let's split the difference and see, I did that in good faith. And when I offered the full value that he had given, I did that in good faith. Okay.

But, there was no --

2.1

ATTORNEY AZADI: No--

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Pardon me, Pat?

ATTORNEY AZADI: No give on their side.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No give on their side to say, give us the appraisal. If you actually think it's worth more than that, because our appraiser has to see their appraisal to see, you know, whether or not -- we gave our full appraisal for that. And additionally, I read in the law department that the valuation that they had requested for uses that aren't allowed by right.

I couldn't provide the document to them. But, I gave -- I read it to them fully over there.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: And I can see that.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: And we don't have anything in return, Robert, that fits, Councillor Logan, excuse me.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: And I appreciate that. Just I hope you can see it from my --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I do see it.

1.3

2.1

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: -- standpoint in that, if I -- the best possible outcome would be if we can somehow get to a friendly taking. I understand that you think this is an avenue to a friendly taking.

I guess, my concern is that, if I vote for it, I now -- I mean, basically, the game is over. I --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. The game's not over, because they're not going to agree to \$18,000,000 with all due respect. It's going to be a lawsuit. And then, the lawsuit would happen. And then, I would have to come in for authority to settle the lawsuit.

So, quite frankly, this is a way to try to get the negotiations moving. If you're going to get -- and listen, a mutually satisfactory -- no, mutually agreeable terms.

That's the problem. What is mutually agreeable? You don't even know yet.

And see, my point is, I don't know what else to tell you. Either it's going to work, or it's not. And we'll come back to you. I mean, we'll report back to you, because my point is, as soon as he sees me there, he's not going to be happy. But, there's no way you can initiate funding without me.

Now, if you want to wait for two years from now, then you can initiate 50,000,000. I don't care what you do. But, I have to go by the rules. And the rules are the charter rules. Honestly. The rules are the charter rules.

1.3

And so, no matter what, if you do reach an agreement, that has to come back and be voted. It has to come back and be voted because I'm not going to put in a money -- see, I could have done all this and say let's just go put the money back in now. Well, I can't until I have certain authority here.

I was just hoping to get everybody on the same page last year to try to get beyond this so we wouldn't even be talking about it for another year. But, in fairness, we're not. So, I'm just trying again to get us to a point where we get a decision and then, come back. And if you don't like that, within that 30 days, okay, it's either going to be recorded or not.

I'm telling you, I'm not going to allow them to record it until this has been allowed to go forward. But, to not -- you've got two public documents. Do you think either one of them are in favor of the City? Really, let's be serious.

The two public documents that the lawyer for the Stigmatines have put out are one, -- where's that one?

Okay. We didn't expect there to be any more meetings.

1 Well, that's contrary to what they told us. 2 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Mayor, if I may, and I shared 3 with --4 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes, you did. But, I want to see 5 what other people say. 6 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: But, that -- there is --7 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: You've still got --8 Okay. Okay. 9 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I don't know what to tell you. 10 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: First time around, Councillor, --11 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because I'm being told that there 12 hasn't been much progress made until this letter came. And 1.3 this letter came this time as a public record on purpose. 14 On purpose. To try to put the City Council in their 15 negotiation sphere. 16 So, who are we negotiating for? The Stigmatines 17 or the City of Waltham? 18 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Thank you, Mayor. First time 19 around Councillor LeBlanc. 20 COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yeah. I don't have much. 2.1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: And respectfully, after this 22 vote, I would like to have the lawyers come up to try to 23 address the other issues that people are concerned about so 24 that we make sure that the authority that we have is clear

to the negotiating team. And that's Councillor Brasco,

	105	
1	Councillor LeBlanc and Mayor McCarthy.	
2	I just want to make sure. Because I don't want to	
3	misstep at all.	
4	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: So the motion of the floor just	
5	by Councillor Stanley.	
6	I've got to take a roll call.	
7	CLERK VIZARD: Roll call.	
8	ATTORNEY CERVONE: The roll call has to be	
9	CLERK VIZARD: Okay. Roll call to move the	
10	question.	
11	Paul J. Brasco.	
12	COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes.	
13	CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III.	
14	COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes.	
15	CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler.	
16	COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes.	
17	CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris.	
18	COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes.	
19	CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava.	
20	COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes.	
21	CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc.	
22	COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes.	
23	CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan.	
24	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No.	
25	CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin.	

	106
1	COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes.
2	CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.
3	COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.
4	CLERK VIZARD: Kathleen B. McMenimen.
5	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Yes.
6	CLERK VIZARD: Daniel P. Romard.
7	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: No.
8	CLERK VIZARD: Thomas M. Stanley.
9	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Yes.
10	CLERK VIZARD: Diane P. LeBlanc.
11	PRESIDENT LEBLANC: No.
12	CLERK VIZARD: President, wish to vote?
13	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yeah, I wish to vote. The vote
14	is no.
15	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Please announce the vote.
16	CLERK VIZARD: 10 in favor. 4 opposed.
17	Okay. On the question, do you need me to read
18	what the motion is?
19	ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes, please.
20	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yes.
21	CLERK VIZARD: Motion by Councillor Stanley is to
22	approve a taking order of the Stigmatine property.
23	Paul J. Brasco.
24	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Want to say is attached as
25	Exhibit what or something?

the attached proposed taking. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Just a point of order, sir. This vote is a taking by eminent domain? MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin. COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.		107
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Just a point of order, sir. This vote is a taking by eminent domain? MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	1	ATTORNEY CERVONE: By eminent domain, pursuant to
CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Just a point of order, sir. This vote is a taking by eminent domain? MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin.	2	the attached proposed taking.
COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Just a point of order, sir. This vote is a taking by eminent domain? MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	3	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah.
This vote is a taking by eminent domain? MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	4	CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco.
MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	5	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Just a point of order, sir.
CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco. COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	6	This vote is a taking by eminent domain?
CUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph F. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	7	MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct.
CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III. COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	8	CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco.
COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	9	COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes.
CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler. COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	10	CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III.
CUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	11	COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes.
CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris. COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	12	CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler.
CUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	13	COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes.
CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava. COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	14	CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris.
COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	15	COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes.
CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc. COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	16	CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava.
COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	17	COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes.
CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan. COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	18	CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc.
CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	19	COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes.
CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin. COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	20	CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan.
COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes. CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	21	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No.
CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	22	CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin.
	23	COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes.
25 COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.	24	CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.
TI Company of the Com	25	COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.

	108
1	CLERK VIZARD: Kathleen B. McMenimen.
2	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Yes.
3	CLERK VIZARD: Daniel P. Romard.
4	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: No.
5	CLERK VIZARD: Thomas M. Stanley.
6	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Yes.
7	CLERK VIZARD: Diane P. LeBlanc.
8	PRESIDENT LEBLANC: No.
9	CLERK VIZARD: President wish to vote?
10	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yes. My vote is no. Sorry. You
11	know what I mean.
12	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Announce the vote, please.
13	CLERK VIZARD: 10 in favor. 4 opposed.
14	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Now, the negotiation, the
15	authority that, if you wish to give authority or limitations
16	on authority to the negotiating team for the meeting
17	tomorrow.
18	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: So, are we going to have
19	language to that or
20	MAYOR MCCARTHY: I don't have any language,
21	honestly, sir. I have been listening to what everybody has
22	said. So that, some of the issues are one, we appreciate
23	the June 25, 2018 offer to negotiate. Okay. The City
24	Council and Mayor, put that there, would still like to
25	negotiate a friendly purchase and sale. Not agreement now.

A friendly purchase and sale. In other words, a friendly 1 2 purchase and sale. Okay. Considering the exigencies of the 3 Massachusetts School Building Authority deadlines. We 4 respectfully ask that the parties try, in good faith, over 5 the next week or two. 6 Or how much time do you want to give? With all 7 due respect, you have to start somewhere. I'm just giving 8 some guidelines. You know, because --9 COUNCILLOR BRASCO: You said it's going to be 30 10 days before it's recorded. 11 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. You're going to tell them 12 that. You know what I mean. 13 COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Within 30 days, we have to 14 have --15 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Considering the exigencies, we 16 respectfully ask that the parties try, in good faith, to 17 negotiate friendly purchase and sale. And that will take it 18 to July 24th is 30 days from tonight. 19 COUNCILLOR LACAVA: When is he going to stamp? 20 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Pardon me? 2.1 COUNCILLOR LACAVA: When's he going to stamp? 22 MAYOR MCCARTHY: When's he going to stamp? 23 Oh, I think tomorrow. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: 24 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Tomorrow. 25 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor LeBlanc, go ahead.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. Go ahead.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, Mayor, I mean, I understand this is not going to be put in the letter, but do we need some votes here with regard to --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's what I'm trying to do.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Okay.

1.3

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm trying to get what your authority is so they can vote it. So, whatever you're asking for, I'm going to try to put it in there. So, what I'm getting at is, I think, when you make the public vote, - John, I think, they have to make a public vote; don't they?

ATTORNEY CERVONE: Oh, on the safety, absolutely.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. Okay. I think, you have

to make the public vote and say, you know, we have a meeting

-- we have a meeting scheduled tomorrow with the

Stigmatines. You know, and just say that. You know what I

mean. Announce the vote and the clerk or somebody -- I

don't know who is going to be the clerk. But, whoever's

going to be the presiding party, when you come out, will

say. And you also wish to notify the public that we do have

a meeting scheduled with the Stigmatines tomorrow.

You know, don't say the time. But, you know, you do; right? Have the meeting scheduled?

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: We do, at 4:00 o'clock. But,

can we say to work toward being friendly?

1.3

MAYOR MCCARTHY: You know, to talk to them, to continue to talk to them. To continue to talk to them. All right.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: To continue negotiations, perhaps?

mayor McCarthy: No. I don't want to say negotiations. To continue to talk to them. Okay. But, what I'm not going to say to the public is this, oh, by the way, they got 30 days, and then, they have to record it and then it's a done deal. So, I'm not going to do that, because I don't think anybody should be talking about the 30 days or the September 30th because the September 30th is over our head, and the 30 days is over their head.

Why would we bring out that stuff? It's only going to cause more, you know, oil and vinegar. So, I'm trying to say, but we continue to talk to them about -- you know what I mean. And they might say, well, -- I don't know what they're going to do tomorrow. I can't say what they're going to do tomorrow.

But, my whole point is, what I -- you know, when you go in, because you're still going to go with Pat.

ATTORNEY AZADI: I was just saying that, when I asked the question at the end of the meeting, I said, what if the City Council does take a taking vote on the night,

1 would you still want to meet on Tuesday and the answer was 2 yes. 3 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Oh, okay. That's good. That's a 4 good thing. Okay. 5 So, based upon that, we'll continue to talk then. 6 So, we'll -- yes. Who's got the floor? 7 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: I'm sorry. Who --COUNCILLOR STANLEY: I did, but go ahead. 8 9 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Well, then, I have Councillor 10 McLaughlin first. COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Fine. 11 12 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: You're the next one on my list. 13 COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: So, my question is, I have 14 a concern about tomorrow. If you show up, you sit down and 15 you say, okay, we're going to take the property and they stand up and walk out of the room. I think -- I see your 16 writing there. I think, we should have something we can 17 18 hand to them which also includes an additional date to meet. 19 MAYOR MCCARTHY: No, no. I got that. 20 we're trying to work out here. 21 COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: No. I'm with you. 22 I'm not sure everybody in the room understands that that's 23 what this is going to be. I have a concern --24 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm trying to work out. Okay. 25 COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: I'm just -- I'm afraid

they're going to --

1.3

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. Let me explain this.

Normally, the vote's done. Meeting's over. Good-bye.

Good-bye. So what I'm trying to do is work out all of the concerns so that they'll know -- so, you know, this also is negotiation. So, whatever it is, someone's going to type it up, probably Pat.

ATTORNEY CERVONE: At the meeting, when we were talking about the possibility of a taking, I did indicate to Attorney Patton and Father White that the taking had to be recorded 30 days, within 30 days.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So they know that. Okay. And they also knew that 18 was the most they could do; right?

ATTORNEY AZADI: We didn't specifically say it, but we did make it clear that the 18 was the appraisal for anything more than the 15 appraisal that was --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Well, did anybody say 18? Was there any talk about 18?

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, actually, when Pat talked about that, he said, "well, what about the Mayor's offer for --"

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Well, that's what I'm saying. With all due respect, I don't know how many times I've tried to make it clear.

COUNCILLOR BRASCO: That's when we asked for the

appraisals.

1.3

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Exactly.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. Yeah. All right.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Yes. That's what we said.

We're available for how much

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, let's do this. Okay. We appreciate the June 25th offer to negotiate. The City Council and Mayor would still like to negotiate a friendly purchase and sale of the parcels. Okay. Of the property. All right. I'll say property instead of parcels. Property. Considering the exigencies of the MSBA deadlines. Respectfully ask that the parties try in good faith to negotiate a purchase and sale agreement. Okay. And to continue -- you know, a purchase and sale agreement. Okay.

COUNCILLOR STANLEY: So that's not a motion?

That's just a --

ever time, or however many meetings you might need.

And so, you know what I mean.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. It's going to be motion here. This is the negotiation. In other words, this can't leave here. They're going to say, no -- no. If we voted, this what's authority. Pat can give some stuff. I mean, listen, there are plenty of times you exchange things. But, we can't -- we've got to be careful on the vote. I'm just saying what this is right now. You know what I'm saying. Right now?

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Thank you.

1.3

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: In other words, authorize the Mayor and the two designees to negotiate along these terms.

Okay. That's what's going to be the vote.

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Is there going to be any upper dollar limit associated?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I can't say that right now. All I can tell you is I offered the 25.4 and I'm not going to sit here and say we're going to not offer that again. Okay. But, my bottom line is, I have a duty to the tax payers to get something so that our appraiser can at least look at and say, is it -- because every time they talk to him about the appraisal, well, we didn't do it like you. We didn't do it like you.

So, they did highest best use by something that's not allowed by right. Right, Pat?

ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, they did highest best use of something that's not allowed by right. Which I don't want to get into what's not allowed by right. Okay. So, we know what's allowed by right.

So now, we're talking about that. And quite frankly, I don't know what it is. But, I am going to say this, I'm not going to interfere with the negotiation either. So, in other words, I'm going to allow my

designated requested team, correct, to continue that. And I'm not going to try to usurp that.

All I'm going to say is, the price should come up last after they are willing to give the appraisal or something. Usually, the devil is in the details. Now, usually, when you do a purchase and sale, you do the price first. But, in this case, it's all the other things that you got to worry about. You know what I mean. Any things that they might be requesting.

So, you never say the price in the beginning, because the price might be offset by the things they're requesting. So, I'm just saying to you, I would only bring up the price at the end. And you know, we need their appraisal. And the appraisal should be able to be given -- I'm not saying give it to me. Give it to the Council. Let us, just like I certified that our appraisal was complete, give it to the appraiser so the appraiser then -- I'm willing -- you know, I had to give permission for Atlantic Management to get the appraisal. I'm all right if they just give it to Bowler right now, and Bowler would do an analysis of it. I'm all right with that.

I don't necessarily have to see the appraisal. But, the City's designee has to see the appraisal. And they have to certify that it's a complete appraisal.

That's the only two requirements that I would

have.

1.3

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Mayor, you indicated --

COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: I have the floor here.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: I'm sorry. We have Councillor McMenimen is next and then, we have Councillor Logan and then, Councillor LeBlanc. Go ahead, Councilor McMenimen.

of good faith already, already, the Councillor from Ward 3 who was going to have a public hearing this evening on a matter that pertains to the zoning of that land, as a sign of good faith, if I'm not mistaken, that public hearing will not go forward. That's a sign of our good faith. Why?

Because we or he or somebody made a decision that that would jeopardize what's being negotiated here.

So, I think, there are a lot of things that we have that need to be brought to the table like that. I also think that it's the will of this City Council body that the condition of the ministry and the priests be of utmost concern as this negotiation takes place.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: But, not a deal breaker.

COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Correct. I said be of utmost concern.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. I agree with that. But, it can't have a -- you can't give it more --

COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: I'm with you. It won't be

my deal breaker. But it's my concern. But, it won't be my deal breaker. All right. I'm done. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Thank you. Next on the list is Councillor Logan.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Okay. So, we've taken a vote here. I, along with three other Councillors, you know, took the position, even after I knew what the vote was going to be.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I didn't, with all due respect.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Really?

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No, I did not.

COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Nor did I.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No, I didn't.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Well, I had a pretty good idea.

15 | I had a pretty good idea. I can guess.

But, -- and I'm proud of that vote, because I think, you know, we took a difficult position on what we thought was a matter of principle. And again, not to imply that people who voted on the other side weren't principled. Because once again, I'll state, I think it was a -- it's an issue where people of good conscience can have a differences.

Having done that though, I think, it's important the decision has been made. And so, I think, it's important as a matter -- and I still think the best possible outcome

would be a negotiated sale.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's what we're talking about right now.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Exactly. And I think though, as a -- you know, there's also -- that everybody, all of us, which ever side we were on with our position, has a fiduciary responsibility to, you know, protect the interest of the City.

So, I want to once again reiterate what the Mayor said. And as I said, I think, in the last meeting, there's a saying that says, if you want to keep a secret, tell your best friend and then, kill him.

(Laughter)

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Exactly.

COUNCILLOR: That's on the record.

(Laughter)

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Absolutely. And what that means is that, even if you really want to keep a secret, you can't even leave it with somebody that you think you can trust in the greatest confidence.

I have some very colorful sayings. There's more where that came from.

But, you know, when I come home, and I'm sure you all deal with it, you know when you come home everybody's, so what happened, Councillor? It's like on this,

consistently all along, even with my wife and my kids, I've said, I can't talk about it. You've got to. We can't talk about it, because for those of us who so strongly want to see this not be an unfriendly taking and want to see this be a friendly taking and negotiated sale, on both sides. And I know, even some of the people that voted for the taking really want to see this be a negotiated sale.

2.1

But, the best way to scuttle that possibility is to go outside and talk about the stuff that was talked about in here. Am I right, Ma'am Mayor?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: They can't. You can't.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Yes. You can't.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Listen, they can't talk. And if you talk to anyone, you're jeopardizing the entire thing for both parties.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Exactly.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because, quite frankly, everybody's trying in good faith knowing we have an exigent time line.

Okay. But, I'm not the one that went to Chris Wangler and said, oh, by the way, you have this document. You have this. I said, "Chris, I've already told you I'm not going to talk about it. And I'm not jeopardizing Executive Session. I'm not talking about the matter."

So, he comes back and with two or three other

things. So, I don't care who it is.

1.3

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: He brought that at me, too.

And I said the same thing.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I don't care who it is. I don't care who it is.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: I said, "I can't talk about it."

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Right. My bottom line is, enough already. Enough already. Listen, we're grown ups here. Everybody knows we're grown ups. Come on. Cut it out.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: And so, you know, both from the standpoint of the fact that -- it's a state law relating to Executive Session, from the standpoint of protecting the City's fiduciary interest. And from the standpoint of, if you really want to see a negotiated sale happen, you've really got to keep all of this --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's right. That means everybody, pro or con on this vote.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Yeah. You've got to keep it under wraps.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: When you leave this room, you can't talk about it. Can't talk about it. Why? You already voted. Can't talk about it.

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: We're going to have to take a vote in public session.

1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. But, everybody's --2 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: But, you can't talk about all 3 this other stuff. 4 MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- going to come up to you, and 5 you know, because this one --COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Still don't talk about it. 6 7 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Last week, they went home. 8 There's still -- everybody's still out there. There's all 9 kinds of people out there. 10 COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Am I --CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Excuse me. Counselor Randall 11 12 LeBlanc has the floor now. 13 COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Thank you very much. I think 14 everyone would like to see just a friendly negotiation. 15 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Joe, can I have that other one 16 back? Go ahead. I'm sorry. 17 COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: I have a procedural question 18 about, when the team goes up to negotiate --19 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Thank you for giving back that. 20 Thank you. 21 COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: When the team goes up to 22 negotiate and an appraisal comes from wherever, and the 23 price gets figured out, whatever that number may be, I'm 24 going to use a hypothetical question. If it's \$20,000,000 25 and there was an appraisal given and the owner accepted it

and it was \$20,000,000, where do we go from there to accept it? You just end up finishing the deal, or do we come back and vote on it again?

1.3

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. There's two things. I would have -- say it's over what he's told me, now, I have -- remember this, okay. So, I don't want to get into the theory of the appraisal, because there's all kinds of stuff in the appraisal.

So, what would happen is, I would then ask Bowler, you know, in light of your appraisal, does this fit within it. And so, and then, if he says -- so, for example, when I did a previous transaction, I sent it back to Bowler and say, you know, what did you feel about this. Okay. So, I would send it back to him.

COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: And if he says yes, then?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Then, I would bring it back to
you.

COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: And then, we come back and then we vote on it again?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. Well, first of all, if the parties agree on the price, then I am going to insist that a purchase and sale be done. Because that's the only thing that can obviate the taking. Right.

ATTORNEY CERVONE: Be signed by them subject to appropriation. That's $-\!-$

1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. Subject to appropriation. 2 That's right. 3 COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Thank you, very much. 4 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Thank you, Councillor. President 5 LeBlanc, please. 6 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 the attorneys, earlier today, I reached out to the Clerk and tried to go over the rules on, if I gave up the Chair, 8 9 because I wanted to speak on the matter, am I allowed to 10 take back the Chair when we go in open session. The Clerk -11 12 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Normally, you're not allowed to. 13 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Okay. The first read on it 14 But that was -that I was. ATTORNEY AZADI: What Roberts Rules of Order says 15 16 and also the Council rules is that, if the Chair steps down 17 to speak on a matter, the Chair cannot resume the seat while 18 that matter is pending. So, as long as the discussion 19 relates to this matter. 20 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, if --ATTORNEY AZADI: In public session as well as 2.1 22 Executive Session. 23 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Again, and I asked. 24 had a different read because he saw there was two separate 25 meanings, that's fine. So, I read here.

1 Can we, when we take the vote, can we get to say 2 the same things that we said? Do councillors get to speak 3 on why they voted a certain way? 4 MAYOR MCCARTHY: No, no. 5 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, but the point --6 MAYOR MCCARTHY: With all due respect, if you 7 wanted to be able to continue to speak, then we don't go 8 tomorrow to the meeting. And we don't try to make it a 9 friendly sale. We just go home and then, let the lawyers handle it. 10 11 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: I just want --12 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm not suggesting that we do 13 that. 14 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: -- to be sure everybody 15 understands that. 16 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. 17 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Excuse me. Just a point of 18 Because any time we're doing something in session, order. 19 Councillors can, by right, speak if they want. But, I 20 think, what the Mayor is saying, and I agree is, please don't. 2.1 22 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. Because I'm going to tell 23 you something. 24 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Because it will wreck everything.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because the vote is for a taking, I can honestly say to that you have anticipated litigation, whether it's --

1.3

2.1

PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Anticipated what?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: There's anticipated litigation.

So, based upon there's potential or anticipated litigation,
you shouldn't be talking to anyone, because you have no idea
what it's going to end up in. See, because, within the -once it's recorded, then it's up to them to file the suit.

So, it behooves both parties to try to come to an amicable
settlement.

And they may not want to. They may want to go to court, which I'm going to tell you, the only people make out with that is lawyers. Lawyers. And I'm not recommending that -- I'm recommending that we try in good faith to make an amiable agreement. That's all I'm saying.

But, you can't -- you can have your say at the end.

CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Thank you. Councilor --

COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Wait. Point of order. So, one of the things that I'm concerned about is, you know, just like this in the Executive Session, I have been answering people that I can't discuss that. I've been accused of, oh, you're just trying to duck the issue.

So, now, we're going to come out and will take a

1	vote and people are going to want to know why did you vote
2	that way. Can we have some kind of a statement when we go
3	out there that says
4	MAYOR MCCARTHY: No.
5	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: that we can't
6	MAYOR MCCARTHY: No.
7	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: that we're not allowed to
8	speak?
9	MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. No. Because
10	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: How do we explain to people who
11	ask us for out vote?
12	MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm going to say it to the same
13	10. Don't you think the 10 want to talk? Same as the four
14	want to talk?
15	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No. But, what I'm saying is,
16	can some kind of a statement be made simply saying that we
17	can't
18	MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm telling you what the
19	statement is going to be.
20	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: that we can't
21	MAYOR MCCARTHY: This is what I suggested.
22	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Explain that we can't discuss
23	it.
24	MAYOR MCCARTHY: But then, if you want to add
25	that, you know what I mean, we'll continue to talk. But, at

this point, you know, the elected officials cannot be having 1 2 That's it. Something we can agree to. any statements. 3 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: But, can that be made so people 4 understand this --MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yes. 5 T can --6 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: So, when our constituents say 7 why did you vote the way you did, then, we can't say --8 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Wait a minute. 9 COUNCILLOR: Sorry. 10 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Say, well, we can't discuss 11 this --12 MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, let's get to what the --13 okay. Listen. It's getting late. And I know you've got a 14 But, let's get to what the authority is and what big night. the public statement is going to be. All right. All right? 15 16 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: All right. Good. 17 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. Let's get to that. 18 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor McMenimen. 19 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Thank you. That's exactly 20 what I was going to ask you to do. Can we walk through the 2.1 steps, not the details, the steps of what is going to happen 22 from now until we go into regular session? 23 MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. This is what's going 24 to happen. You're going to vote on certain authority for the negotiating team, or whatever you want call them, the 25

designees -- I'm not a designee. You're going to vote a 1 2 certain authority for the designees and the Mayor. Okay. 3 Then, after that, we're going to just talk about a 4 public statement. And then, you're going to adjourn the 5 meeting, come out and vote, just take the vote, read the 6 public statement, and that's it. 7 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: And we're done. MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's it. 8 9 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: And everything here, of 10 course, becomes public --11 MAYOR MCCARTHY: And then, we'll have to schedule 12 another Executive Session, so thank you. 13 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: No, no. There's something --14 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Can I finish? 15 continue? CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor McMenimen has the 16 floor. 17 18 MAYOR MCCARTHY: What was the question? 19 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Everything here becomes 20 public only when --21 The matter is finally disclosed. MAYOR MCCARTHY: 22 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: -- the matter is completely 23 and --24 MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct. 25 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: -- finally disposed of.

1	MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's correct.
2	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: And we don't know when that
3	is going to be.
4	MAYOR MCCARTHY: No, we don't. So, there's no
5	reason to reveal any of the Executive Sessions to date. And
6	then, there would have to be a vote by the City Council
7	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: I'm done.
8	MAYOR MCCARTHY: to reveal that.
9	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Thank you.
10	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Right? Okay. So now, is there
11	anything else? So, there's
12	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Anybody else. Sorry, Mayor.
13	MAYOR MCCARTHY: But, I just want to get what she
14	wants to say it now that nothing that happened in Executive
15	Session can be revealed at this time.
16	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: At this time. That's
17	correct.
18	MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. What else?
19	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Ma'am President.
20	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Can we give Councilor Vidal
21	exactly what it is, you know, we want him to say the proper
22	wording about
23	MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm writing it down. Yeah. So,
24	we're writing it down.
25	But, I want to go back. Is there any more

1 authority you want to give them? Just come back, right? Is 2 there any more authority you want to give those two? 3 COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Is there anything else we 4 should say? 5 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Well, it says here, we appreciate 6 the offer to negotiate. The City Council and Mayor would 7 still like to negotiate a friendly purchase and sale, 8 considering the exigencies of the MSBA deadlines. 9 respectfully ask that the parties try to negotiate in good 10 faith for a purchase and sale. And that we, you know, begin 11 today and schedule as many meetings as soon as possible. 12 Begin today and schedule as many meetings, you know, prior 1.3 to the 30 days. Right. Schedule as many meetings prior to 14 the 30 days. 15 You all right with that boys, over there? 16 down pat? 17 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Yes. Can we take that to 18 open session as well? 19 MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. It's a negotiation. 20 All right. Here we go. This is what I have --21 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: I want --22 MAYOR MCCARTHY: -- go ahead. 23 Councillor Fowler, please. CHAIRMAN VIDAL: 24 COUNCILLOR FOWLER: I was going to make a motion

that we vote on the proposal as written by us that the Mayor

25

|| read --

2.1

MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, let's see what we have.

ATTORNEY CERVONE: Can you read it, Mayor?

MAYOR MCCARTHY: I've got shorthand. But, I want to see if Pat has the same.

We appreciate the June 25, 2008 offer to negotiate on the Stigmatines. Right. So far so good?

ATTORNEY AZADI: Yes.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: And you know, there has been good faith exhibited by both parties. And I think, even though you don't like to acknowledge that, there has been.

The City Council and Mayor would still like to negotiate a friendly purchase and sale of the property -- of the properties. Okay? I-E-S. Okay, Pat?

ATTORNEY AZADI: It is actually less -- to see the lot now, but it's --

MAYOR MCCARTHY: Of the three properties, of the three properties, combined properties. The three combined properties. Considering the exigencies of the MSBA deadline, we respectfully ask that the parties try, in good faith, to negotiate a friendly purchase and sale agreement?

ATTORNEY AZADI: A friendly purchase and sale.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: A friendly purchase and sale. A friendly purchase and sale. Okay. And to that end -- to that end, we will make ourselves available for as many

1	meetings as necessary. To that end we will make ourselves
2	available to attend as many meetings as necessary.
3	COUNCILLOR: While everybody else is on vacation.
4	(Laughter)
5	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Not us.
6	Meetings as necessary. As necessary.
7	Is there anything else you want?
8	ATTORNEY AZADI: You had prior to the 30 days?
9	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Prior to the 30 days. Prior to
10	the 30 days. For recording, right?
11	ATTORNEY AZADI: For recording. Prior to the 30
12	days within which the taking has to be recorded.
13	MAYOR MCCARTHY: 30 days for recording. Prior to
14	the 30 days for recording. For recording of the order.
15	Okay. All right. Taking order; right?
16	ATTORNEY AZADI: Yeah. Yes.
17	MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. Anybody?
18	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Anybody want to add anything to
19	it? Councillor McLaughlin.
20	COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Just a question. So, this
21	will be in written form that can be handed to them when they
22	walk into the meeting tomorrow?
23	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah.
24	COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: And the only reason I'm
25	asking is, I'm afraid that they're going to head for the

1 hill and I want them to have something in their hand so that 2 we can --3 They're going to know the vote, a MAYOR MCCARTHY: And this --4 public vote. 5 COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: No. T know. 6 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. Listen. I can't get into 7 the vote to them. I'm just saying, this is what we're going 8 to have as a handout. It's not going to be word for word. 9 You know what I mean. It's going to be a word for word 10 vote. But, it's not going to be word by word. 11 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Mayor, that's fine. 12 was really asking, in terms of you want Councillor Vidal, 1.3 after we take the vote, to say --14 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm going to get to that in a 15 minute. 16 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Still talking about --17 MAYOR MCCARTHY: This is the negotiation. All 18 right. Everybody agree with this? 19 COUNCIL: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Okay. So, we're going to --21 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Yes. Council will have to 22 make a motion to accept that for the negotiation. 23 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Who has the floor again? 24 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor Fowler has the floor. 25 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler.

	135
1	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: On the motion On the motion,
2	we're going to roll call.
3	CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco.
4	COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes.
5	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: What's the motion for?
6	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Motion is, we appreciate the June
7	25, 2018 offer.
8	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Oh, no. Okay. We'll just
9	vote
10	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yeah, yeah. Go over it.
11	CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III.
12	COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes.
13	CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler.
14	COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes.
15	CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris.
16	COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes.
17	CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava.
18	COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes.
19	CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc.
20	COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes.
21	CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan.
22	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Yes.
23	CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin.
24	COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes.
25	CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.

	136
1	COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.
2	CLERK VIZARD: Kathleen B. McMenimen.
3	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Yes.
4	CLERK VIZARD: Daniel P. Romard.
5	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: No.
6	CLERK VIZARD: Thomas M. Stanley.
7	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Yes.
8	CLERK VIZARD: President LeBlanc.
9	PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Yes.
10	CLERK VIZARD: Diane P. LeBlanc, President.
11	CLERK VIZARD: President Vidal.
12	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yes.
13	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Please announce the vote.
14	CLERK VIZARD: 13 in favor. One opposed.
15	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. So now, the only thing
16	left is to what the public statement will be.
17	COUNCILLOR: Yes.
18	MAYOR MCCARTHY: John, Mr. Cervone, come here.
19	This is where we need you. Okay. So, the Clerk is going to
20	announce they're going to take they took the vote
21	here. Now, they're going to come out into public session.
22	ATTORNEY CERVONE: Get out of Executive Session.
23	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. So, you move out of
24	Executive Session. And you take a vote on that.
25	ATTORNEY CERVONE: Yeah.

1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. And then, after that, 2 you're going to say -- who's going to make the motion now? 3 COUNCILLOR STANLEY: I will. 4 MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's going to say -- he's going 5 to make it publicly now; right? So, motion by Councillor 6 Stanley. And then, their other vote was to adopt, you know, 7 8 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Well, that's part of Councillor 9 Stanley. 10 MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. Motion by Councillor 11 Stanley to take the property by eminent domain for 12 \$18,000,000 for the purposes of --13 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Property at 554 Lexington 14 Street. 15 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. Take the property, yeah, 16 at -- well, it's 554 Lexington Street, three parcels. 17 ATTORNEY AZADI: Yeah. It's in there. Yeah. 18 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Pursuant to --19 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Motion by Councilor Stanley to 20 take the property known as -- known as. And you're going to 2.1 read from that property, this paragraph, okay. Right? 22 COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Second paragraph. 23 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Second paragraph. Motion by 24 Councillor Stanley to take the property. Okay. Consists of 25 three formerly separate parcels, formerly known and numbered

as da-da-da -- now known as. Okay. And the entire 1 2 So, you read that whole paragraph. All right. property. 3 Read the whole paragraph. Right? 4 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Pursuant to this --5 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Don't you have to mention the 6 money? 7 ATTORNEY CERVONE: It's in an order is what --8 Pursuant to --9 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. Pursuant -- yeah. But, 10 then they're going to rush over and want the order. They're trying to -- okay. I don't know what to say. 11 12 Move motion out of Executive Session. Vote that. 1.3 Motion by Councillor Stanley to take the property consisting 14 of -- you know, by eminent domain. Read the whole thing. 15 To take the property consisting of three formerly separate 16 parcels, blah, blah, blah, by eminent domain for the 17 purposes of -- for the purpose of -- now, you're going to go 18 back to the top paragraph. 19 ATTORNEY CERVONE: It's just -- to me, you don't want to have a vote that's differs from this. So, just say 20 2.1 pursuant to --22 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. All right. Johnny, that's 23 what I'm asking. You know, --24 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Pursuant to the attached --25 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. Motion by Councillor

1 Stanley. And he's going to read this. And you want him to 2 take the property. 3 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Yes. 4 CLERK VIZARD: I could just read, the order. 5 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Pursuant -- what you said, 6 pursuant to the attached order or proposed order for the 7 amount listed in the attached. 8 MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. Here. Write it up. 9 He's going to need -- honestly, John, they've got to have it 10 written up. So, here, write it. 11 ATTORNEY CERVONE: There's nothing to -- okay. 12 MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. I just want -- because in 13 fairness, they don't want to make any mistakes. 14 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: That's right. That's right, 15 John. 16 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Johnny, write good. 17 ATTORNEY CERVONE: With this handwriting --18 MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. That's what I'm saying, 19 write good, John. 20 (Multiple Councillors talking at once.) 2.1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. He has to make the motion, 22 John. 23 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Yes, it is. 24 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: But, can't he just highlight 25 from the order what he's supposed to --

1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. He can say pursuant to the 2 attached order, you know. 3 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Making it too -- yeah. We've 4 all got to keep it simpler. 5 MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, now, motion by -- Tommy's 6 going to get up and talk. 7 CHAIRMAN: Where do we go --8 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I would just go from the -- you 9 know, the first two paragraphs. Let him read the first two 10 paragraphs, John. CHAIRMAN VIDAL: The meeting will come back to 11 12 order. 13 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Yeah. That's fine. But, then 14 after that --15 MAYOR MCCARTHY: What if he reads the first two 16 paragraphs? ATTORNEY CERVONE: Yeah. But, then you still have 17 18 to say pursuant to the attached order of taking, because 19 that has the legal description and the terms and the amount 20 of money. 21 MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. So, yeah. Well, he can say ordered. And then, at the end, he says, pursuant to 22 23 the, you know, the attached order. 24 ATTORNEY CERVONE: Okay. 25 MAYOR MCCARTHY: And all the -- go ahead, Joe.

1 CLERK VIZARD: So, we still have to have the 2 announcement that the President's going to say about why 3 people aren't talking? MAYOR MCCARTHY: We'll get there. 4 This is his motion. 5 6 CLERK VIZARD: His motion. Sorry. 7 COUNCILOR VIZARD: Mayor is losing it. 8 MAYOR MCCARTHY: No, I'm not losing it. 9 (Laughter) 10 COUNCILOR VIZARD: Well, you're getting madder at We're almost there. We're almost there. 11 12 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I'm not getting mad. 13 thing is that we have to say --14 Mayor, so if he said --CHAIRMAN VIDAL: 15 Tommy has to make the MAYOR MCCARTHY: No. So, you can't say it came out of Executive Session 16 17 -- it's not like, you know, report of the committee. It's 18 He has to make another motion right now. So, you know 19 what I mean. Because this -- the Executive Session stays in 20 Executive Session. He has to do it this way. 21 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: And the vote will be a mirror 22 image of the vote that we just took. 23 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. Yes. You two start 24 working on the public statement. All right. 25 CLERK VIZARD: Co you're going to say Cuoncillors

1	are unable
2	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Aren't able?
3	CLERK VIZARD: to discuss this matter
4	MAYOR MCCARTHY: And we've got to have this
5	attached.
6	CLERK VIZARD: in public session
7	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: It doesn't matter. It doesn't
8	matter whether it's first or second.
9	At this time. That's it.
10	CLERK VIZARD: And say that right after he makes
11	his motion.
12	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Okay. And then we just put it
13	right to vote.
14	CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. See if you know what I'm
15	saying?
16	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: So, Mayor, after Councillor
17	Stanley makes the motion, and then, right after that, I'm
18	going to come in with my statement, or do we go through the
19	vote?
20	MAYOR MCCARTHY: We have the vote.
21	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yeah. We have
22	MAYOR MCCARTHY: We have the vote. And then,
23	after that.
24	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: All I'm going to say is
25	Councillors were unable to discuss this matter in public

1 session at this time. That's all I'm going to say. 2 CLERK VIZARD: So, when he makes his motion, 3 that's when people would be able to debate though. So, I 4 think, that's when he should explain why there isn't debate. 5 MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. We're going to talk 6 about that right now. We're having four or five different 7 meetings here. 8 ATTORNEY CERVONE: I think, you've got to get to 9 And then this will follow the vote. the vote first. 10 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. And we are. We're going 11 to get to the vote. But, in fairness, Carlos? 12 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yes. 13 MAYOR MCCARTHY: That's important, that's all. 14 We're going to get it there. 15 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: I want to make sure I come out of 16 here sounding like, you know -- close this down quickly. 17 COUNCILLOR FOWLER: It's 2:00 o'clock, so --18 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Maybe 3:00. It's past 3:00 right 19 now. 20 COUNCILLOR FOWLER: I'm never home for that. MAYOR MCCARTHY: I've been here once 'til 5:00 2.1 22 a.m. Once. 23 COUNCILLOR FOWLER: No. I already talked to 24 Attorney Azadi. And she says she has no more business here. 25 if we get the law department out, let's speed it up.

1 MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. That's good. 2 Here we go. Just say. 3 But, you want to say that you have a meeting with 4 them tomorrow. You want to put that in the public 5 statement; right? 6 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Oh, are we going to -- we're 7 going to put that in the public statement that we have a 8 meeting tomorrow. 9 MAYOR MCCARTHY: We're going to put that in the 10 public statement. Yeah. So you want to put it in to say, 11 you know, we have a meeting scheduled for tomorrow and we 12 will continue. 13 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: I don't give a time; right? 14 just say scheduled for tomorrow, Mayor? 15 No. Don't give the time. MAYOR MCCARTHY: 16 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Okay. Have a meeting scheduled with the 17 MAYOR MCCARTHY: 18 Stigmatines tomorrow. And --19 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: To continue the conversation or no? 20 MAYOR MCCARTHY: To continue to talk. 2.1 22 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: To continue to talk. 23 MAYOR MCCARTHY: I think, it was that. Yeah. 24 you know, individuals. And elected officials are not 25 permitted to talk about the matter at this time. Right.

1	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Got it.
2	MAYOR MCCARTHY: All right. Now, Johnny, what do
3	you got? He's all right so far, Tom? All right. So now,
4	here we go.
5	All right. Let's go to the public statement now.
6	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: All right.
7	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Let's go. Let me see this, Tom.
8	It says here, I make a motion to take the property known and
9	numbered by eminent domain. And now, sometimes, known
10	collective as 5 pursuant to the attached order of taking
11	from the current notice, the trustees of Stigmatine Fathers,
12	Inc for the taking price of \$18,000,000. Okay.
13	And then, you're going to make the motion.
14	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yeah.
15	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Then so, you can have this
16	back.
17	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: We'll have a roll call on that;
18	correct?
19	MAYOR MCCARTHY: There will be a roll call on
20	that.
21	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yeah.
22	MAYOR MCCARTHY: And then, you're going to make,
23	after that you're going to say
24	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: I'm going to say, we have a
25	meeting that's scheduled for tomorrow to continue talks with

Councillors, we are not able to discuss 1 the Stigmatines. 2 this matter in public session at this time. 3 MAYOR MCCARTHY: At this time. 4 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: And that's it. 5 Anything else you want in the MAYOR MCCARTHY: 6 public statement? 7 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: No. But, Mayor, is the thing 8 being tabled? 9 Well, as for that, not -- the MAYOR MCCARTHY: 10 taking is not being tabled. In other words, the Stigmatine 11 matter is still here. So, you're not going to table the 12 eminent domain. 1.3 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Okay. 14 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because I have to have another --15 one of us has to have another special meeting if there's Because you need to put a funding request in 16 other terms. 17 and all that stuff. Right. So, I would have to have --18 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: So, if you're going to call 19 another special meeting --20 MAYOR MCCARTHY: One of us is going to do it. 2.1 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Right. Exactly. 22 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Either you or me or both. Right. 23 That's fine. PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Yeah. 24 MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, in other words, if it comes fruition that they're able to make a friendly sale, then

I would have to present a purchase and sale to you as well 1 2 as funding source. 3 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: But, we've been coming out of 4 Executive Session and simply -- you know, so, tonight, we're 5 going to take a vote, then we're going to move to adjourn. 6 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Move to adjourn, that's right. 7 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: And that's it? So, nothing's getting tabled. 8 MAYOR MCCARTHY: 9 then, you move to adjourn. But, we're already moving -- it 10 was moving to adjourn that special meeting. 11 CLERK VIZARD: Special meeting. 12 Then, move to adjourn after that. CHAIRMAN VIDAL: 13 Okay. 14 COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Executive Session because 15 we'll still be in the special meeting. 16 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: So, we're adjourning the special session first. 17 18 MAYOR MCCARTHY: You're moving --19 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Which is the next thing. 20 MAYOR MCCARTHY: You're moving to adjourn the Executive Session. 2.1 22 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Right. 23 PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Right. So, I move to adjourn. 24 Wait a minute. Not yet. MAYOR MCCARTHY: We move adjourn the Executive Session. Then, --

1	PRESIDENT LEBLANC: The public session.
2	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Now, public session. This takes
3	place; correct?
4	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Meeting will come back to order
5	at 9:00 whatever time it is. And then, Councilor Stanley
6	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Then, they open the doors.
7	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yeah.
8	MAYOR MCCARTHY: And then, the Chair is going to
9	recognize Councillor Stanley.
10	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor Stanley. Correct.
11	MAYOR MCCARTHY: And then, from there, you're
12	going to make your statements after that.
13	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: No. Well, he will make his
14	motion and then, I'll make my statement.
15	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. Then, the vote.
16	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Before the vote or after the
17	vote? So, because I have my
18	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Okay. What is the pleasure. Do
19	you want him to say it's not relative to the motion, so -
20	·-
21	PRESIDENT LEBLANC: It would be after the vote.
22	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: After the vote.
23	MAYOR MCCARTHY: After the vote. Then, he makes
24	that statement; right?
25	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yeah.

	149
1	MAYOR MCCARTHY: And then, after that is announced
2	
3	ATTORNEY AZADI: Then, ask a motion to adjourn the
4	special meeting.
5	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Motion to adjourn the special
6	meeting.
7	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Okay. Okay.
8	COUNCILOR STANLEY: Then, before you call it, you
9	should say we're going to have a vote and then call the
10	statement. Because we need to make known
11	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Do you want to do that?
12	I'm all right with that. I think, I'm all right
13	with that.
14	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: What do you want to do?
15	MAYOR MCCARTHY: In other words, before you
16	recognize Councillor Stanley, you're going to say, there's
17	going to be a vote and a public statement.
18	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Okay.
19	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Up here.
20	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Okay. So, this is
21	MAYOR MCCARTHY: So, you're
22	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: There is going to
23	COUNCILLOR: Followed by a public statement.
24	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Yeah. There's going to be a vote
25	followed by a public statement. From you.

MAYOR MCCARTHY: From the Chair. ATTORNEY AZADI: Mayor, you might also want them - have everybody turn over their papers. Because if everybody doesn't MAYOR MCCARTHY: Wait one minute. Just wait one minute. Did we vote the authority? COUNCILLOR: Yes. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was Councillor Fowler; right? I just want to make sure. CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right. CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor President LeBlanc	1	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Yes.
- have everybody turn over their papers. Because if everybody doesn't MAYOR MCCARTHY: Wait one minute. Just wait one minute. Did we vote the authority? COUNCILLOR: Yes. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was Councillor Fowler; right? I just want to make sure. CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	2	MAYOR MCCARTHY: From the Chair.
everybody doesn't MAYOR MCCARTHY: Wait one minute. Just wait one minute. Did we vote the authority? COUNCILLOR: Yes. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was Councillor Fowler; right? I just want to make sure. CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	3	ATTORNEY AZADI: Mayor, you might also want them -
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Wait one minute. Just wait one minute. Did we vote the authority? COUNCILLOR: Yes. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was Councillor Fowler; right? I just want to make sure. CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	4	- have everybody turn over their papers. Because if
minute. Did we vote the authority? COUNCILLOR: Yes. MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was Councillor Fowler; right? I just want to make sure. CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	5	everybody doesn't
MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was Councillor Fowler; right? I just want to make sure. CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	6	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Wait one minute. Just wait one
MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was Councillor Fowler; right? I just want to make sure. CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	7	minute. Did we vote the authority?
I just want to make sure. CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	8	COUNCILLOR: Yes.
CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	9	MAYOR MCCARTHY: It was Councillor Fowler; right?
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	10	I just want to make sure.
13 1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any 14 questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers 15 away, except for naturally, these guys and you. 16 COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your 17 hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. 18 MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all 19 right. 20 What? Now, you're not going to have the 21 stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're 22 CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're 23 MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting 24 adjourns. All right.	11	CLERK VIZARD: Yeah. Councillor Fowler.
questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	12	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Councillor Fowler. It was 13 and
away, except for naturally, these guys and you. COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	13	1. That's fine. So, we did that. Now, it's this. Any
COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	14	questions before we go back in? And I would put your papers
hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats. MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	15	away, except for naturally, these guys and you.
MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	16	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: All right. Hang on to your
right. What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	17	hats. Having been here before, hang on to your hats.
What? Now, you're not going to have the stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	18	MAYOR MCCARTHY: Because that's part of the all
stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	19	right.
CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting adjourns. All right.	20	What? Now, you're not going to have the
23 MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting 24 adjourns. All right.	21	stenographer after the public meeting; right? So, you're
24 adjourns. All right.	22	CLERK VIZARD: No. He's free to go once we're
	23	MAYOR MCCARTHY: He's free to go once this meeting
25 CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor President LeBlanc	24	adjourns. All right.
	25	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Councillor President LeBlanc

		151
1	makes a motion to adjourn from Executive Session.	
2	CLERK VIZARD: Paul J. Brasco.	
3	COUNCILLOR BRASCO: Yes.	
4	CLERK VIZARD: George A. Darcy, III.	
5	COUNCILLOR DARCY: Yes.	
6	CLERK VIZARD: William H. Fowler.	
7	COUNCILLOR FOWLER: Yes.	
8	CLERK VIZARD: Cathyann Harris.	
9	COUNCILLOR HARRIS: Yes.	
10	CLERK VIZARD: Joseph P. LaCava.	
11	COUNCILLOR LACAVA: Yes.	
12	CLERK VIZARD: Randall J. LeBlanc.	
13	COUNCILLOR LEBLANC: Yes.	
14	CLERK VIZARD: Robert G. Logan.	
15	COUNCILLOR LOGAN: Yes.	
16	CLERK VIZARD: Kristine A. Mackin.	
17	COUNCILLOR MACKIN: Yes.	
18	CLERK VIZARD: John J. McLaughlin.	
19	COUNCILLOR MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.	
20	CLERK VIZARD: Kathleen B. McMenimen.	
21	COUNCILLOR MCMENIMEN: Yes.	
22	CLERK VIZARD: Daniel P. Romard.	
23	COUNCILLOR ROMARD: Yes.	
24	CLERK VIZARD: Thomas M. Stanley.	
25	COUNCILLOR STANLEY: Yes.	

	152
1	CLERK VIZARD: Carlos A. Vidal.
2	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Chair votes yes.
3	CLERK VIZARD: Diane P. LeBlanc.
4	PRESIDENT LEBLANC: Yes.
5	CLERK VIZARD: 14 in favor. None opposed.
6	MAYOR MCCARTHY: What did you say, Robert?
7	CLERK VIZARD: Just declare the Executive Session
8	adjourned.
9	CHAIRMAN VIDAL: Executive Session adjourned.
10	(Whereupon, at 9:38 p.m., the proceedings were
11	concluded.)
12	

	153
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER AND TRANSCRIBER
2	This is to certify that the attached proceedings
3	
4	In the Matter of:
5	EXECUTIVE SESSION:
6	
7	RE: ACQUISITION AND/OR USE OF REAL STATE
8	PARCELS IN RELATIONSHIP TO WALTHAM HIGH SCHOOL
9	PROJECT AND OTHER PROJECTS.
10	
11	
12	Place: Waltham, Massachusetts
13	Date: June 25, 2018
14	
15	were held as herein appears, and that this is the true,
16	accurate and complete transcript prepared from the notes
17	and/or recordings taken of the above entitled proceeding.
18	
19	<u>Jeffrey Mocanu</u> <u>11/26/2018</u>
20	Reporter
21	