

July 27, 2020

# Waltham High School

School Building Committee and School Committee Joint Meeting

Focus Group Recommendations

- Interiors
- Technology
- Sustainable Design
- Site Design
- Traffic Signal Design

Look head topics for next SBC meeting

Focus Group Recommendations

- Interiors
- Technology
- Sustainable Design
- Site Design
- Traffic Signal Design

Look head topics for next SBC meeting

### **Dining Commons: Schematic Design**



### Dining Commons: Design Development

Sculptural Ceiling Accent Wood Accents Epoxy Terrazzo Floor



Display Opportunities

#### Servery: Schematic Design

#### Wood Look Ceiling

Porcelain Tile Walls





Porcelain Tile / Epoxy Terrazzo Floor

### Servery: Design Development



#### Media Center: Schematic Design



#### Media Center: Design Development



#### Art & Maker Spaces: Schematic Design



#### Art & Maker Spaces: Design Development



Focus Group Recommendations

- Interiors
- Technology
- Sustainable Design
- Site Design
- Traffic Signal Design

Look head topics for next SBC meeting

### **Typical Classroom Layout**







### **Typical Classroom Layout - Update**



- Provide one wall-mounted, short-throw projector per classroom. Laser vs LED technology to be priced.
- No interactive projectors will be provided in classrooms
- Provide one document camera per classroom
- Carry 15 portable systems for ADA-compliant classroom amplification



#### **Cellular Phone Repeater System**







Focus Group Recommendations

- Interiors
- Technology
- Sustainable Design
- Site Design
- Traffic Signal Design

Look head topics for next SBC meeting

#### MEPA Life Cycle Cost Analysis

Life Cycle Cost Analysis - HVAC Systems & Building Enclosure							
System Options			System Costs as Present Value				
			Installation	Replacement	Maintenance	Energy	30-Year Life
Scenario 1	Stretch Code	NATURAL GAS BOILERS + DOAS + AIR COOLED CHILLER (Stretch Code)	\$17,026,689	\$9,108,543	\$1,790,000	\$63,083,000	\$91,008,000
Scenario 2	Proposed Design	NATURAL GAS BOILERS + DOAS + AIR COOLED CHILLER	\$17,576,528	576,528 \$10,145,649 \$1,78		\$57,413,000	\$86,925,000
Scenario 3	Proposed Design w/ Electric Heating	VRF + DOAS + PROPOSED DESIGN ENCLOSURE	\$17,522,000	\$12,346,236	\$1,952,000	\$69,675,000	\$101,495,000
Scenario 4	Electric Heating w/ NZER Enclosure	VRF + DOAS + NZER ENCLOSURE	\$19,923,000	\$15,418,000	\$1,952,000	\$68,973,000	\$106,266,000

Notes:

1. Installation costs are based on Schematic Design project cost estimate.

2. Replacement costs are specific to each system, based on ASHRAE useful life data and using unit costs brought forward as Present Value costs.

3. Maintenance costs are estimated to include third-party service to systems, but in-house routine maintenance.

4. Energy costs are based on energy modeling analysis and current energy costs based on EIA 2020 -\$0.1648/kWh and \$1.26/therm.

5. Total Cost is the sum of Installation, Replacement, Maintenance and Energy costs..

6. Present Value modeled on a 30-year lifecycle cost, 3% depreciation

### MEPA Life Cycle Cost Analysis

Preliminary Project Cost & Payback Analysis									
System Options		System Description	Installation Costs	Incremental costs		Annual Energy Costs Savings	Payback	Estimated Incentives⁴	Payback with
			\$	\$	%	\$	Yrs.	\$	Incentives (years)
Scenario 1	Stretch Code	NATURAL GAS BOILERS + DOAS + AIR COOLED CHILLER (Stretch Code)	\$17,026,689	\$0					
Scenario 2	Proposed Design	NATURAL GAS BOILERS + DOAS + AIR COOLED CHILLER	\$17,576,528	\$549,839	0.3%	\$49,099	11.2	\$209,000	2.13
Scenario 3	Proposed Design w/ Electric Heating	VRF + DOAS + PROPOSED DESIGN ENCLOSURE	\$17,521,528	\$494,839	0.3%	(\$57,080)	0.0	\$184,394	N/A
Scenario 4	Electric Heating w/ NZER Enclosure	VRF + DOAS + NZER ENCLOSURE	\$19,923,096	\$2,896,406	1.8%	(\$51,004)	0.0	\$377,552	N/A

Notes:

1. Utility Incentives are based on the current incentives program, under which the project is already registers for: \$0.30/kWh and \$1.70/Therm. Preliminary AEC incentives based on DOER's \$2/AEC [\$1,519 for Scenario 3 and \$1,352 for Scenario 4]. The estimated incentives are not confirmed not approved by the utility, they are included in the payback calculations for the sole purpose of the FEIR, as required in the DEIR comment letter.

2. All cost estimates are sourced from recent project costs estimate and bids (SMMA Schools).

3. Incremental Costs percentage (%) is compared to the estimated construction costs and budget: \$160,000,000.

4. EIA 2020 energy costs, based on \$0.1648/kWh and \$1.26/therm.

Focus Group Recommendations Site Design Topics:

- West Entrance Plaza
- Green Roof Spaces
- Natural Turf Field Program
- Trail Connections
- Site Signage

• Loading Area

 $\bullet$ 

- Emergency Access
  - Post-Construction Maintenance
- Parking Distribution

#### West Entrance Plaza

Recommendation:

Provide social seating and gathering areas for athletic and theatre events.

Expand plaza across to garage entrance.

Incorporate pedestrian safety features into vehicular crossing area of plaza.



#### **Green Roof Spaces**

**Recommendation:** 

Provide outdoor classroom with more traditional furnishings, teacher lounge area, and event space.

Allow flexibility for multipurpose use of green roof spaces.









### Natural Turf Field Program

#### Recommendation:

Provide Outdoor Classroom Space, Space for two U8 or one U10 Soccer Fields, netting for ball control.

Incorporate landscape feature into slope along entrance road.

Potential future program: Expansion of adventure ropes course, garden space.







#### **Trail Connections**

#### **Recommendation:**

Provide accessible connection from Natural Turf Field to informal outdoor learning space at Wetland Restoration Area.



### Site Signage

#### Recommendation:

Provide hierarchal family of signage and wayfinding for vehicles and pedestrians. Include programmable LED message board along entrance road.

Aesthetics to be coordinated between site, architecture, interiors, and environmental graphics.

	Audience	Sign Type
Туре 1	Everyone	Monumental Entrance
Туре 2	Everyone	Digital Message Board
Туре 3	Vehicles	Directional Roadside Pylons
Туре 4	Pedestrians	Informational Placard



# Loading Dock & Auto-Tech / Collision-Tech

**Recommendation:** 

Provide screening for adjacent classrooms and where possible for passing pedestrians and vehicles.



#### **Emergency Access**



#### Recommendation:

Secondary emergency access only in the event primary access (Lexington Street) is inaccessible. No vehicular or pedestrian access. Gated at both ends.



### **Post-Construction Maintenance - Stormwater**

Recommendation: Routine maintenance of the system is essential to achieving effective long-term pollution prevention!

Weekly:

• Remove trash and natural litter from site

Twice Annually:

- Sweep pavement areas
- Mow/trim bioretention areas
- Inspect CBs, DMHs, and WQUs

Annually:

- Remove sediment from forebays, CBs, DMHs, WQUs
- Inspect subsurface detention systems

Develop maintenance log and plans to identify tasks, to be included in Conservation Commission filings.



### Post-Construction Maintenance – Snow Management

Recommendation:

- Zoning requires 40 sf of snow storage per at-grade parking space (±8,000 sf)
- Store snow to avoid pedestrian zones, plant material, and loss of parking spaces
- Any snow clearing on synthetic turf field to be done by 3<sup>rd</sup> party with appropriate equipment.



### Parking Distribution – Priority Parking Spaces

#### **Recommendation:**

The following locations and distributions are recommended for priority parking.

Substitute teachers and other long-term visitors will be handled administratively.

School utility vans are not required.



9 accessible 5 EV charging 25 green vehicle **Outdoor Commons:** 1 accessible 3 short term Main Entrance: 4 accessible 2 EV charging 8 green vehicle 16 short term South Lot: 6 short term Natural Turf:

1 accessible

Garage:

### Parking Distribution – Reduce At-Grade Parking



#### **Recommendation:**

Continue to study and make efficient the garage parking layout so that reductions in at-grade spaces can be made, up to 40 spaces

#### **Previously shown:**



#### If reduced by 40 spaces:



Focus Group Recommendations

- Interiors
- Technology
- Sustainable Design
- Site Design
- Traffic Signal Design

Look head topics for next SBC meeting

### Site Plan Updates: Lexington Street Signal

#### Option 1: Two Signalized Driveways



#### Option 2: One Signalized Driveway





Focus Group Recommendations

- Interiors
- Technology
- Sustainable Design
- Site Design
- Traffic Signal Design

Look head topics for next SBC meeting



## Thank You

